FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACTS

SUBJECT: CUSTODIAL DETENTION
SECURITY INDEX

FILE NUMBER: 100-358086

SECTION: 56



FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.

OSA GIN RES. MO. 27
UNITED STATES GO. _RNMENT (

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: 3/25/66

то

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - Cen'l

During the past week 10 cards were added to the Security Index and 38 cards were cancelled, a net decrease of 28 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 10,017.

ACTION:

None. For your information.

Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division

April 6, 1966

Director, FBI

EMERGENCY DETENTION PROGRAM

The name of the subject appearing below has been approved for inclusion in the Security Index by the Internal Security Division of the Department.

Name:

FBI File Number:

Department Approved:

Cotobor 7, 1985

Date of Last Report:

March 15, 1966

Investigation has failed to develop evidence of recent subversive activities or affiliations on the part of the subject. All pertinent information concerning the reported past subversive activities of the subject has been furnished the Records Administration Office.

It is requested that the results of the investigation be reviewed to determine whether the subject's name should continue to be included in the Security Index.

NOT RECORDER

NOTE: SAC. Miami

Pending receipt of reply from Department, subject's name will be retained in Security Index.

DUPLICATE YELLOW

sified

as pertains to SI and EDP, component parts of which are so classified

HOWIT LINED

OSA OSH. SEO. HO. 27

UNITED STATES GOVE MENT

MemorandumROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: 4/1/66

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX -

During the past week 12 cards were added to the Security Index and 40 cards were cancelled, a net decrease of 28 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,989.

ACTION:

None. For your information.

3570

OPTIONAL POEM NO. 10
MAY 1962 EDITION
OSA GEN. 8EG. NO. 27
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: 4/8/66

SEROM :

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - General

The following is a report of the status of the Security Index since the last count was furnished 3/11/66.

٠	Week of	E :	-		Cards Added	Cards Cancelled	Net Change
	March March	`21	-	18 25	18 10	15 38	+ 3 - 28
	March April April	28		1 8	12 <u>14</u> 54	40 31 124	- 28 - 17 - 70

During the above-mentioned period of time, there was a net decrease of 70 cards in the Security Index.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period, 62 cards were added to the Security Index and 95 cards were cancelled, resulting in a net decrease of 33 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,972.

ACTION:

This is for your information.

3571

INITED STATES GOV NMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: 4/15/66

FROM -

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX SOMEYA

During the past week 17 cards were added to the Security Index and 15 were cancelled, a net increase of 2 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,974.

ACTION:

None. For your information.

MAY 1982 EDITION
GEA GEN. RIG. AG. 27

LINITED STATES GC. LKINMENT

Memorandum

TO

DATE: April 13, 1966

FROM :

SUBJECT: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS - I divid

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending active cases and delinquency in espionage, internal security, security matter, foreign intelligence and racial matters cases in classifications 65, 100, 105 and 157. Status of these cases as of March 31, 1966, is set forth below.

In March, 1966, there was an increase in pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 from 19,234 to 19,242. Delinquent matters increased from 1,311 to 1,353. The percentage of delinquent matters increased from 6.8 per cent to 7.0 per cent.

During the same month, pending active matters in the 157 classification increased from 4,832 to 4,864 while delinquent matters increased from 655 to 712. The field-wide delinquency in this classification increased from 13.6 per cent to 14.6 per cent.

Letters are being sent to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages 4 and 5 herein, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

ACTION:

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding delinquency in the 65, 100, 105 and 157 classifications and you will be advised of the results of the review of the administrative report for April, 1966.

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

DETAILS:

The March, 1966, administrative reports for all offices indicate that the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 increased from 19,234 to 19,242. Delinquent items increased from 1,311 to 1,353. The percentage of delinquent items increased from 6.8 per cent to 7.0 per cent. These same reports disclose that in the 157 classification total pending active matters increased from 4,832 to 4,864; delinquency increased from 655 to 712; and the percentage of delinquency increased from 13.6 per cent to 14.6 per cent.

The following statistics show the status of pending active matters and delinquency for all field divisions for the last six months.

CLASSIFIC	ATIONS 65,	100, 105	TERS and 157		
10/31/65	11/30/65	12/31/65	1/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66
907	952	1,006	993	984	964
8,871	9,006	8,866	8,663	8,633	8,390
10,522	10,076	9,902	9,591	9,617	9,888
20,300	20,034	19,774	19,247	19,234	19,242
5,458	5,416	4,927	4, 794	4,832	4,864
	CLASSIFIC A 10/31/65 907 8,871 10,522 20,300	CLASSIFICATIONS 65, ALL FIELD D 10/31/65 11/30/65 907 952 8,871 9,006 10,522 10,076 20,300 20,034	CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS 10/31/65 11/30/65 12/31/65 907 952 1,006 8,871 9,006 8,866 10,522 10,076 9,902 20,300 20,034 19,774	CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105 and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS 10/31/65 11/30/65 12/31/65 1/31/66 907 952 1,006 993 8,871 9,006 8,866 8,663 10,522 10,076 9,902 9,591 20,300 20,034 19,774 19,247	ALL FIELD DIVISIONS 10/31/65 11/30/65 12/31/65 1/31/66 2/28/66 907 952 1,006 993 984 8,871 9,006 8,866 8,663 8,633 10,522 10,076 9,902 9,591 9,617 20,300 20,034 19,774 19,247 19,234

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105 and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

	_					
Classifi- cations	10/31/65	11/30/65	12/31/65	1/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66
65	22	24	22	31	32	27
100	711	720	654	686	665	661
105 Totals		669 73) 1,413(7.1	<u>694</u> 1,370(6.9	711 %) 1 ,428 (7.4	<u>614</u> %)1,311(6.8	<u>665</u> 3%1,353(7.0%)
157	587(10	.8%) 950(17	'.5%) 938(19	0.0%)761(15	6.9%) 655(13	3.6%) 712(14.6%)

Status of Security Work by Individual Office

The offices with delinquency in either category are listed alphabetically and these statistics reveal their progress during March, 1966, in the 157 classification and in composites for the 65, 100 and 105 classifications. The delinquencies shown are based on pending active matters.

73	
35	

M								D. 1.2 m.m.	
35	Active	Matter	S	Delinqu		Active N		Delinqu	
	157	Classi-		157 Cla			and 105	65, 100 a	
	fic	ation		ficati			ications	Classific	
Office	2/28/6	6 3/31/	66 2	2/28/66 3	3/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66	2/28/66 3	/31/00
				- 4 - 671	0 09	1.60	7 0 7	3.8%	6.1%
Albany	7		3	14.3%	0.0%	160	181	3.8%	10.9%*
Atlanta	40		2	10.0%	3.1%	79	64 51.0	8.4%	7.1%
Baltimore	27	2	9	11.1%	6.9%	358	518	17.6%	12.5%*
B' mingham	167			13.8%	5.6%	51	40	_	5.9%
Boston	4			0.0%	0.0%	420	406	10.0%	10.2%*
Buffalo	22	1	9	0.0%	0.0%	298	294	7.4%	
Charlotte	503	48	7	12.7%	11.7%*		73	11.5%	4.1%
Chicago	71	12	3	1.4%	0.8%	1,116	1,228	5.4%	5.4%
Cincinnati	88	6	5	9.1%	32.3%*	288	315	5.6%	8.6%
Cleveland	49	1 . K. J. L	3	14.3%	4.7%	432	374	9.0%	11.5%*
Columbia	359	36	3	32.0%	29.2%*	41	42	29.3%	16.7%*
Dallas	41		16	17.1%	0.0%	114	104	0.9%	1.0%
Denver	. 8	}	5	0.0%	0.0%	93	101	4.3%	4.0%
Detroit	38		3	5.3%	7.0%	632	602	6.3%	4.0%
Honolulu	. 1		1	0.0%	0.0%	47	47	0.0%	2.1%
Houston	43		39	2.3%	0.0%	96	80	8.3%	2.5%
Indianapolis	·		22	0.0%	9.1%	2 55	334	8.6%	10.8%*
kson	87.7	-		29.2%	27.4%*	48	39	10.4%	0.0%
Jacksonville			48	7.7%	4.2%	72	. 63	4.2%	11.1%*
Kansas City	7	-	7	0.0%	0.0%	60	73	5.0%	5.5%
Knoxville	87	1.	LO	1.1%	5.5%	24	26	4.2%	3.8%
Little Rock	•		98	2.1%	1.0%	_17	12	0.0%	0.0%
	88	-	91	8.0%	3.3%	1,603	1,462	12.3%	11.6%*
Los Angeles Louisville	10	_	13	0.0%	7.7%	32	26	9.4%	15.4%*
	30		33	10.0%	3.0%	46	62	4.3%	11.3%*
Memphis	9:	-	12	1.1%	0.0%	446	-433	1.8%	7.6%
Miami	Э.	, I	14	¥ 9 × 70	0,010				

CONTINUED - OVER

S Active					ı		
23							
' 157 fi	Matters Classi- Cation 66 3/31/66	Delinqu 157 Cla ficati 2/28/66 3	ssi- on	Active M 65, 100 Classifi 2/28/66	and 105 cations	Delinque 65, 100 a Classific 2/28/66 3	nd 105 ations
Milwaukee Minneapolis Mobile 46 Nevark 2 Ne Haven New Orleans 60 New York 2 Norfolk 8 Oklahoma City 0 maha Philadelphia 5 Phoenix Pittsburgh 1 Portland Richmond 14 St. Louis 18 Salt Lake City S Antonio 18 San Diego San Francisco San Juan Savannah Seattle Springfield Tampa 1	8 8 11 489 8 26 5 3 4 578 16 0 87 8 12 6 5 52 4 4 1 14 6 3 185	0.0% 0.0% 9.2% 7.1% 0.0% 13.1% 5.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 3.8% 0.0% 30.6%* 0.0% 3.3%* 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.	249 345 25 756 358 112 4,167 44 51 67 497 66 203 121 93 188 59 99 152 1,526 556 19 367 94 157 1,717	219 319 23 715 430 106 4,009 49 46 55 692 63 189 115 114 190 67 97 123 1,566 466 24 350 84 139 1,802	2.4% 6.1% 0.0% 8.3% 5.6% 0.0% 7.5% 6.8% 2.0% 11.9% 5.6% 7.5% 6.8% 4.0% 10.5% 6.7% 3.2% 10.5% 1.9% 5.3% 3.8% 5.5%	7.3% 6.3% 0.0% 9.2% 10.5%* 2.8% 7.8% 6.1% 6.5% 7.3% 4.6% 10.6%* 8.7% 5.8% 5.8% 6.5% 7.7% 5.6% 4.2% 0.6% 2.4% 5.8% 4.0%

- 5

- List of subjects whose citizenship status is unknown.
- List of aliens. 7)
- List of SI subjects of Chinese descent.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Communist China.
- 10) List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba.
- 11) List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba and China.
- List of SI subjects who are defectors. 12)
- List of Progressive Labor Party members.
- List of SI subjects who are Chinese defectors.
- 15) List of SI subjects who are Cuban defectors.
- 16) List of missing SI subjects.

Enclosure

100-358086

APR 25 1966

-CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum for RE: SECURITY INDEX LISTS 100-358086

The SAC, Quantico, should destroy by burning the lists furnished 3/18/66. When this action has been taken, he should so note on this memorandum and return it to the Subversive Control Section.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum and the attached lists will be forwarded to Quantico for the above action.



Handled by Overtico

3575

UNITED STATES GOVE MENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: 4/22/66

FROM :

то

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 14 cards were added to the Security Index and 15 were cancelled, a net decrease of 1 card.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,973.

ACTION:

None. For your information.

UNITED STATES GOVI IMENT DATE: April 21, 1966 FROM SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX -We forward to the field quarterly an alphabetical list of each office's Security Index in order that the Security Index cards maintained in the field may be checked and reconciled with Bureau records. There is attached a proposed letter to all field offices containing appropriate instructions regarding the handling of the list. RECOMMENDATION: There is attached for approval the yellow file copy (original on Plastiplate) of a letter to all offices. After approval, the attached yellow file copy should be returned to Supervisor J. Harold Glascock, Room 806 Federal Triangle Building, for preparation and distribution to the field. Enclosure 100-358086

Memorandum

то

DATE: 4/20/66

FROM

SUBJECT SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There are 9,974 persons represented in the Security Index — as of April 15, 1966. Of the total, 6,969 or 69.9 per cent are communists.

A detailed table, copy enclosed, shows as of April 15, 1966, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, citizenship and "special lists." A key to abbreviations is enclosed.

3577 (ENCL,)

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

AL	Alien
DC	Detcom
KF	Key Figure
NA	Naturalized
NB	Native Born
TF	Top Functionary
UN	Unknown
AEP	Atomic Energy Program Employees
ASC	American Socialist Clubs
COM	Communist Party, USA
CUB	Cuban
CZE	Czechoslovakian
ESP	Espionage Subjects
FGE	Foreign Government Employees
GOV	United States Government Employees
ISL	Independent Socialist League
JFG	Johnson Forest Group
MIS	Miscellaneous
MMI	Moslem Mosque, Inc.
NOI	Nation of Islam
\mathbf{PLP}	Progressive Labor Party
POL	Polish
\mathbf{PPA}	Proletarian Party of America
PRN	Puerto Rican Nationalist *
RUS	Russian
SWP	Socialist Workers' Party
UNE	United Nations Employees
YUG	Yugoslavian
	•

...3577

ENCLOSURE

3577 (ENKL.)							
23		SECURITY (NDER CARC STA BASED ON VOUCHER-STATISTICAL SECU	TISTICS - BY FILL CFT	ILE IL 15, 1966	<u> </u>	•	,
	· m	NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR OFFICIALISM AFFILIATION	(6)	(5) SEC	CITIZENSHIP STATUS	L71 " PACE	3966146 3567865
Transcription	torel	COM ISL JEG NOT PHE HES PEA PEA RUS ASC SHP CUB TUG CZE POL PLP	1	PALE FEMALE	NO NA AL UN	WHITE NEGRO CHIN OTHER	AEP CE COV UNE TOU
Nisane Stephine t Vice 1878 Stephia Stephia	46 20 2 11) ¹⁰	, ,	26 20 13 / 14 3 57 12	40 5 1 19 1 2 17 74 16 1	20 2 H J 61 10	
Livery SHAP	5 276 94 10	1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 14 6 5 6 3 7	4 i 140 60 00 52 2f V 12 5	107 10 3 88 9 1 13 3	7 t Ini 24 i 66 32 36 8 V	
pmang () f f f (Le diu Suc () di vol anno f f () di par o fini () di vol () di vol	784 58 168	0 5 1 86 12 13 8 2 8 87 9 1 11 14 50 1 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 23 6	172 2/3 13 11 110 66 1 1 3	605 174 19 53 1 157 23 1 1 4 23 1	555 198 24 30 135 44 12 17	
Name of the state	37 37 384 4	12	19 ', 1 20 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	34 In 283 113 3 1 10 4	ab 5 2 1 3 552 56 d h 1b 21 1 2	1 3 276 138 2 1 6	,
TOTALLANDS TO STANDS TO ST	07		5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17 0 3 1 6 1 14 3	51 12 3 6 1	#6 23 3 5 2 17 5	
COLUMN TO THE COLUMN T	1,427	1,212 12 27 3 1 8 142 14 1 1 20	1 25 /0	10 3	12 1 1 1 1,014 171 44 2	5 d . 2 1,506 111 2 10 1 6	
Salant Sa	7 10H 770	154	1A	54 70 60 45 110 84 751 74	24 5/ 15 96 9 5 201 19 247 54 25 1	07 / 107 0 214 / 257 40 2	
Williams Williams Control of the Con	127 15 2741 21741 21	7: 71 7 5 1 16 % 2 4 4 2 2 0 1 10 106 2 4 4 4 1	9 5	ed 59 14 1 1-1-0-0 19 2 6 2	105 20 2 15 1,920 700 110 9 20 1	v/ 29 1 h 11 2,3/h 3h5 2h 2	12
Service of Citys Service Careta Policia Careta Policia Policia	5 7 7 40	252 1 16 2 2 1 35 7 12 5 21 4 2 1 1 2 1	50 e 1	6 1 1dv 117 2u H 3u 16 56 2v	/ 249 51 6 22 6 36 5 5 77 5 1	A 1 251 55 20 f 1 55 10 1	
Albert Saint a left Saint a per City San Satture	85 97 65 14 19		7 16 5 5 5 7 1 12 k	14 4 45 20 12 0 15 4 47 18	20 1 1 1 62 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 15 e1 24 id i1 8 e0 15 1	
\$5. \$-3501,03 \$25. 1. 55 \$5.45.55 \$6.455.5	1,100	Afts 1 22 11 7 5 125 H 51 2 1 5 1 2 5 2 1 5 2 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	10 (:) HB 17 64 31 1	671 447 432 61 1 165 132 4 6	911 159 45 5 483 4 5 1 1 273 17 6 1	059 - 05	
TOPEN POPEN	135	20 1	n c	30 14 81 50	35 Y I I	20 15 103 50 5	
16134	V,974 ·	6,76V 4 32 601 73 20V 597 70 116 20 875 200 1 3 200 1.7	01 402 27	4,521 5,653	7.868 1.770 531 25	8,555 1,540 57 54	16 . 35 . 7

ITEMS EN NOT ADD TO COLUMN 2. BUT ARE INCLUDED IN SECTIONS 5. S. S. S AND F. COLUMNS RF AND TF ARE INCLUDED IN DC COLUMN.

Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

April 22, 1966

There is attached hereto a current list of names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. Those individuals coming within four designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information indicating the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number, and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Enclosure

100-358086

NOTE:

Letter classified as it pertains to
Security Index, part of Emergency Detention Program of Department. Espionage Section of Special Section not included. Four designated groups are:

U. S. Government Employees Foreign Government Employees United Nations Employees Cubans PŘ 26 1966

3579

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

MemorandumROUTE IN ENVELOPE

то

DATE: 4/29/66

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 19 cards were added to the Security Index and 15 were cancelled, a net increase of 4 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,977.

ACTION:

None. For your information.

OPTIONAL POEM HO. 10
MAY 1942 EDITION
GEA GEN. REO. HO. 27
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: 5/6/66

FROM

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

Genil

-The following is a report of the status of the Security Index since the last count was furnished 4/8/66.

Week o	<u>f</u>:	Cards Added	Cards Cancelled	Net	Change
April	11 - 15 18 - 22 25 - 29 2 - 6	17 14 19 <u>9</u> 59	15 15 15 17 62	+ -+	2 1 4 8 3

During the above-mentioned period of time, there was a net decrease of 3 cards in the Security Index.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period, 54 cards were added to the Security Index and 124 cards were cancelled, resulting in a net decrease of 70 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,969.

ACTION:

This is for your information.

12/2/65

Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division Director, FBI

EMERGENCY DETENTION PROGRAM

The name of the subject appearing below has been approved for inclusion in the Security Index by the Internal Security Division of the Department.

Name:

FBI File Number:

Department Approved: December 3, 1962

Date of Last Report: November 19, 1965

Investigation has failed to develop evidence of recent subversive activities or affiliations on the part of the subject.

All pertinent information concerning the reported past subversive activities of the subject has been furnished the Records

Administration Office.

It is requested that the results of the investigation be reviewed to determine whether the subject's name should continue to be included in the Security Index.

NOT RECORDED

NOTE: SAC.

Pending receipt of reply from Department, subject's name will be retermed in Security Index.

issified "Secret" as pertains to SI and EDP, component parts of which are so classified natment.

ORIGINAL FILED IN

3_30_66

Atrial

To: BAC, Little Rock

From: Director, FBI

Tellesures Lader card cancellas

IS - CUBA

had been reviewed and a determination made to delete him from the Security Index. The Security Index cards maintained on subject at the Exreau have been exacelled and you should likewise cancel the Security Index cards maintained on him in your office.

A complete review should be made of subject's case
file in your office to make certain that offices having
outsinguing investigations are advised of the above and requested file discontinue investigation. These offices, however, should be instructed to report in a form suitable for dissemination any investigation which has been completed.

NOT RECOMDS

Subject, a US citizen, was placed on SI in Decomber, NOTE: 1984, in view of his statements indicating sympathy for the Castro regime and an empressed opinion that the only way to make the US great again was to completely everthros our present Government and do away with its present leaders. These statements were written by the subject in a letter to his parents while the subject was incarcorated at ghow subject to have corved three months in Cuba with the Castro ferces. When interviewed, the subject claimed the information regarding his serving with Castro was not entirely correct but reduced to discuss whether or not he had over been in Cuba or any prior relationship with the Castro forces. An extensive investigation has been conducted in an effort to determine if subjechas ever been in Cuba. The investigation has failed to show that subject has ever been in Cuba or that he has ever been engaged in activities which could be considered of a subversive nature.

53 AFT 5 1508

CUPLICATE VELICULE LOCAZIONE PAGE 120

BAY 1903 SECTION STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: May 13, 1966

FROM :

TO


SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 19 cards were added to the Security Index and 18 cards were cancelled, a net increase of one card.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,970.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information



- 4) List of key figures and top functionaries.
- 5) List of SI subjects out of country.
- 6) List of subjects whose citizenship status is unknown.
- 7) List of aliens.
- List of SI subjects of Chinese descent.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Communist China.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba.
- List of SI subjects symmethetic to Cuba and China. 11)
- 100-358086-3513 List of SI subjects who are defectors. 12)
- List of Progressive Labor Party members 6 MAY 19 1909
- 14) List of SI subjects who are Chinese defectors.
- 15) List of SI subjects who are Cuban defectors.
- 16) List of missing SI subjects.

CONTINUED - OVER

Enclosure

Memorandum for
RE: SECURITY INDEX LISTS
100-358086

The SAC, Quantico, should destroy by burning the lists furnished 4/20/66. When this action has been taken, he should so note on this memorandum and return it to the Subversive Control Section.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum and the attached lists will be forwarded to Quantico for the above action.

TIT

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

DATE: 5/12/66

FROM

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There are 9,966 persons represented in the Security Index 1 5 as of May 10, 1966. Of the total, 6,949 or 69.7 per cent are communists.

A detailed table, copy enclosed, shows as of May 10, 1966, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, citizenship and "special lists." A key to abbreviations is enclosed.

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

AL.	Alien
DC	Detcom
KF	Key Figure
ŇA	Naturalized
NB	Native Born
\mathbf{TF}	Top Functionary
UN	Unknown
AEP	Atomic Energy Program Employees
ASC	American Socialist Clubs
COM	Communist Party, USA
CUB	Cuban
CZE	Czechoslovakian
ESP	Espionage Subjects
\mathbf{FGE}	Foreign Government Employees
GOV	United States Government Employees
ISL	Independent Socialist League
JFG	Johnson Forest Group
MIS	Miscellaneous
\mathbf{MMI}	Moslem Mosque, Inc.
NOI .	Nation of Islam
\mathbf{PLP}	Progressive Labor Party
POL	Polish
\mathbf{PPA}	Proletarian Party of America
\mathbf{P} RN	Puerto Rican Nationalist *
RUS	Russian
\mathbf{SWP}	Socialist Workers' Party
UNE	United Nations Employees
YUG	Yugoslavian

ENCLOSURE

3584

200		SECURITY INDEX CAR BASEC ON YOUGHER-STATISTICA	C STATISTICS - BY FIELD	CF+ ILE PAY 10, 1965		·	
m a	12)	MATIONALISTIC TENDENCT OR CEGAMIZATION AFFILIATION	(4)+	7EX (2)	CITIZENSPIP STATES	in Mile	SPECIAL SILIICS
FILLS CFFICE	1C1AL	COP ISL JFC NOI PMI HIS PRA PPA RUS ASC SUP CUB VUG CEE POL PLP	DC RF 1	P PALE FEMALE	NO NA AL UN	BETTE NECRO CHIN OTHER	ALP GE GOV UNE THE
L IANF L HLGUERGUE NUFCARGE ILLANIA	e7 20 2 16	b) 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	27 20 13 1 2 15 3 15 3	11 5 1 19 1 2 10 74 16 1	2C 2 9 9 61 30	
14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	71 207 76 30	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 1 13d 64 60 12 27 9 11 3	103 30 3 88 9 1 33 5	177 24 1 06 32 30 7 7	
+ EAL STE HICASO ENCINARI LIEVELAND LIELF, IA	79.3 54 - 185	7 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10	6 470 2f5 45 11 2 110 67 4 21 5	601 125 19 55 1 160 25 1 1 4 25 1	551 192 24 50 136 49 4	
04.64. 0. 10017 64 -436 HUNULLE	300 300 310	3G 1 1 1 1 17 17 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 \	33 1/ 1 2r3 115 2 10 4 10 4	12 5 2 1 1333 57 6 1 5 1 4 7 1 2	97 3 25H 13E 2 3 A 6	
PLUSTUS ENLIANIPOETS JALIESIN JALIESINSTEE KANSA, LATT	75 70 7 9	3 3		4e 22 2 1 2 12 3	58 12 2 8 1 15	47 25 2 7 2 11 4	
RSCRIPTER LTS WILLES EFFIEL WEERS LES BOOKES	1,03]	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 205 64	1 /5% 6//	12 1 1 1 1 1,010 300 45 1	5 d 2 1,100 115 2 10 2 1 6	
wither	75 110 21d	1 6 2 2 2 22 2 150 41	1 16 1 2H 17 35 13	1 67 41 1 135 63	24 36 15 97 10 3 199 19 265 55 25 1	216 2 216 2 25 65 2	
NEW PAREN THE OREFALS & THE YEAR TOPESS	128 15 15 2,749 21	212 1 65 F 2 4 15 16 F9 21 2 5 1 16 4 F 6 1 7 00 2 11 5F 21 66 119 52 1 169 106 2 16	, v	17 1,607 1,000 14 2	106 20 2 15 1.935 678 108 9 20 1	9F 27 1 h 11 2,3f6 3h7 2h 2	112 3 2
CHARA CATE CHARA PHARACEUMHAR PHARESTA	507 20 20		11	0 1 1v0 117 1v 7 3u 10 5h 2v	20 6 58 5 3	6 1 252 55 17 6 1 35 16 1	
RICHAL SAINT NAME CATY SALT NAME CATY	22 65 18 19	15 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 14 5 5 1 7 1 1 12 4	1d 4 45 26 12 6 15 4 4f 1d	20 1 1 62 1 1 1 16 1 1	9 13 11 24 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	
SAN BRANCISCO SAN BRANCISCO SAN JAN SANNING SCATTLE	201	35 13 17 17 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	20 20 73 21 20 11 71 65 37	2 652 and a35 60 1 1 law 133	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 460 35	
INPER		6. 1 7 10 2 1 19 92 50 4 12 2 1	1 22	50 14 89 56		1 29 15 103 36	
10146	*.***	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	104 1,475 4/7	48 6,31f 3,645	7,865 1,764 531 20	6 8,525 1,540 67 34	3

MAY 1942 EDITION
ON GEN. HEG. NO. 27

UNITED STATES GO ANMENT

MemorandumROUTE IN ENVELOPF

DATE: 5/20/66

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX—General

During the past week 9 cards were added to the Security Index and 6 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 3 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,973.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

A

Assistant Attorney General

May 19, 1966

Director, FBI

Conoral

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. Those individuals coming within four designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information indicating the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number, and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost the security.

Enclosure

100-358086

NOTE:

Letter classified as it pertains to
Security Index, part of Emergency Detention
Program of Department. Espionage Section of Special Section
not included. Four designated groups are:

U. S. Government Employees
Foreign Government Employees
United Nations Employees

Cubans

6 MAY 23 1966

(Lev)

	white the state of	•	Date -		
	7 19	•	April 25	, 1966	
From	n: (Suggester's name)		Division of Assign	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Director, FBI			Domestic Ir	ntelligence	· · · ·
That Form FD-305 (ment as to date th	e photograph was	taken, II.	IIS TIME WOOD		
"4. A suitable ph was taken	otograph []is []:	is not avai	ilable。 Date	e photograp 305A	h
			- 3	7	
	OSECURITY	INDEX	-GENE	E/L	
•					
Current practice or rule (Include ma	anual citation as well as facts)			
Currently the formation of rule (Include in Currently the formation)	n merely shows wh	ether or n	ot a photogr	aph is	
Advantages of suggestion and annu	ial savings (include basis for	estimate)			
Enable Supervisor	at Seat of Gover	nment to m	ore closely her a currer	t picture	
Enable Supervisor the case; readily is available; enal picture.	accompant at any	Time wher	HEL A CALLEL	<u></u>	
the case; readily is available; enal	accompant at any	Time wher	HEL A CALLEL	<u></u>	
the case; readily is available; enal	accompant at any	Time wher	HEL A CALLEL	<u></u>	
the case; readily is available; enal picture.	accompant at any	Time wher	HEL A CALLEL	<u></u>	· .
the case; readily is available; enal	accompant at any	Time wher	HEL A CALLEL	<u></u>	1
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disadvantages of suggestion	accompant at any	Time wher	HEL A CALLEL	<u></u>	
the case; readily is available; enal picture.	accompant at any	Time wher	HEL A CALLEL	<u></u>	
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disodvantages of suggestion None known.	ascertain at any	aff to rea	dily check i	or Courrent	or
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disadvantages of suggestion None known. (The use by the United States of gasians upon the United States.	ascertain at any ble inspection st my suggestion shall not form to understand that I will be con	aff to rea	dily check i	or Courrent	or lupted
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disodvantages of suggestion None known.	ascertain at any ble inspection st my suggestion shall not form to understand that I will be con	aff to rea	dily check i	e by me, my heirs,	or dopted
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disadvantages of suggestion None known. (The use by the United States of assigns upon the United States. within two years after submission Recommendations and comments.	my suggestion shall not form to understand that I will be contained. Mrs	he basis of a furth sidered for any just homes tic	dily check I	e bý me, sty heirs, my sundestion is a	or dupted
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disadvantages of suggestion None known. (The use by the United States of assigns upon the United States. within two years after submission	my suggestion shall not form to understand that I will be contained. Mrs	he basis of a furth sidered for any just homes tic	dily check I	e by me, my heirs, my sundestion is ac Ad of Suggester Davi si on	or dupted
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disadvantages of suggestion None known. (The use by the United States of assigns upon the United States. within two years after submission Recommendations and comments.	my suggestion shall not form to understand that I will be considered to the comments of the mittee which are	he basis of a furth sidered for any just homes tic	dily check f	e by me, my heirs, my sundestion is ac Ad of Suggester Davi si on	or dupted
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disadvantages of suggestion None known. (The use by the United States of assigns upon the United States. within two years after submission Recommendations and comments I concur with the Streamlining Commendations Commendations	my suggestion shall not form to understand that I will be considered to the comments of the mittee which are	he basis of a furth sidered for any just homes tic	dily check f	e by me, my heirs, my sundestion is do Ad of Suggester Davi si on	or dupted
the case; readily is available; enal picture. Disadvantages of suggestion None known. (The use by the United States of assigns upon the United States. within two years after submission Recommendations and comments I concur with the Streamlining Commendations Commendations	my suggestion shall not form to understand that I will be considered to the comments of the mittee which are	he basis of a furth sidered for any just homestic	dily check i	e by me, my heirs, my sundestion is do Ad of Suggester Davi si on	or dopted

ADDENDUM

DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION (4/26/66)

A Form FD-305 is prepared by the field to accompany the annual reports prepared in Security Index cases. It is a check-off page which serves to insure that various matters pertaining to investigations of Security Index cases are not overlooked. Item 4 on the form requires the field to state whether or not a photograph of the subject is available and, if so, if it is suitable. There is now no way the Seat of Government Supervisors can check on the suitability of the photographs but there would be an indication as to their suitability if the dates of the photographs were given. It has been observed that in a number of field office inspections the inspectors have ordered the offices to obtain new photographs because the field office files showed from the dates of the photographs that they were too old and therefore unsuitable. It is important to have up-to-date photographs in these cases in view of the purpose of the Security Index.

If the suggestion is approved, it is recommended that the change be made at the next printing of the Form FD-305.

We of the Domestic Intelligence Division Streamlining Committee believe this suggestion has merit and recommend its adoption.

2:30

				•	
	ì.		Subject's name is included in the Security Index.		•
	2.	님	me doto appearing on the Security Index card are	current.	
		닉	Changes on the Security Index card are necessary a	nd	•
	3•	ك	Form FD-122 has been submitted to the Bureau.		
			A suitable photograph is is not available.		• •
•	4.		A suitable photographisis no distance.	is	
	5.		Subject is employed in a key facility and	agencies	
			charged with security responsibility. Interested	ageneres.	
	1		are	because	
	6.		This report is classified	because	
	"	لــا	(state reason)		
			(80200 20200)		
		•			,
	1		$oldsymbol{\mathcal{Y}}_{oldsymbol{N}}$		
	1	•			
	1	•			•
	1				
	7.		Subject previously interviewed (dates)	•	
	1 ''	<u> </u>	Subject was not reinterviewed because (state reasons)	on)	
	1		J Buoject was not received		
	1				
	1				•
			•		•
١,	1		()	•	
•	1		\sim $_{\star}$, \sim		_
	4				· •
	1				
	1				
	1 0	_	This case no longer meets the Security Index crit	eria	
	8.	L	and a letter has been directed to the Bureau reco	mmending	
			and a letter has been directed to the family		
	1		cancellation of the Security Index card.	he .	
	9.		This case has been re-evaluated in the light of t	ri+hin	
	1		Security Index criteria and it continues to fall	MT CIITII	
	1		such criteria because (state reason)		· •
	1 .				
٠.	1				
	1				
	1	•			
			•		
	ł				
	1	•		20	
,					1.4
	1 7	` —	Subject's SI card is is not tabbed Detcom	1.	
	1 -	o• <u> </u>	Subject's activities warrant Detcom tabbing becar	ıse	
	1		John Hongrams		
	1	4	(state reasons)	-	
	1				
	1	,			
		•			_
	/		at an Itan O of Itam 1 1 & Surehali de		-
*	I(x)	nse:	rt as line 2 of Item 4.) surger of the		
	Da	te	photograph was taken		
			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
			OTT	DOTAIN TH	7305

Date photograph was taken	
This report is classified because	
. I This report is classified	
	•
	•
Subject previously interviewed (dates)	• .
Subject was not reinterviewed because (state reason)	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because	,
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason) Subject's SI card is is not tabbed Detcom.	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason) Subject's SI card is is not tabbed Detcom.	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason) Subject's SI card is is not tabbed Detcom.	
has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Security Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Security Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason) Subject's SI card is is not tabbed Detcom.	

<u>ن</u> ال		Subject's name is included in Section A or B of the Reserve Index.	
		The data appearing on the Reserve Index Card are current.	•
	3•	Changes on the Section A Reserve Index Card are necessary and Form FD-122a has been submitted to the Bureau.	
1	4.	A suitable photograph is is not available.	
	5•	Subject is employed in a key facility andis charged with security responsibility. Interested agencies are	
	6.	This report is classifiedbecause	
		(state reason)	
	**		. • •
- [
	~	Subject was not reinterviewed because (state reason)	
1	7.	Budjeet was not remotiviewed because (states)	
	•		٠.
	•		
		A December A December Index emiteria	
	8.	This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card.	
1	9.	This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve	
1		Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria	
		beçause (state reason)	
	1	Axos Je	
:	*	(Insert as line 2 of Item 4.) Date photograph was taken (indicating propose revision)	ed
		ENCLOSURIA	
			Ш

Changes on the Section A Reserve Index Card are necessary and Form FD-122a has been submitted to the Bureau. A suitable photograph	Changes on Form FD-1			lard are cu			
Date photograph was taken Subject is employed in a key facility and		the Section A R 22a has been sub	eserve Index Comitted to the B	ard are ned ureau.	cessary and		
charged with security responsibility. Interested agencies are This report is classified	A suitable Date photo	photograph 📋 i graph was taken	s is not a	vailable.			
This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index catd. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	Subject is charged wi	employed in a ke th security respo	y facility and ansibility. Inter	rested age			
Subject was not reinterviewed because (state reason) This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	. This report	t is classified —		*	because	•	
 3. This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card. 9. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason) 	(state reas		, mare			•	
This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	r					. A second	
This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)			•		*	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)							
This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)					•		
This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)							
 This case no longer meets the Section A Reserve Index criteria and a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending cancellation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason) 	. Subject wa	as not reinterviev	ved because (s	tate reasor	ı)		
a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending Cancerration of the Section A Reserve Index card. 9. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	٠ ـــ	7			••		
a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending Cancertation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)						•	
a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending Cancertation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)				•			
a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending Cancertation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)					•		
a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending Cancertation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)						•	
a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending Cancertation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)							
a letter has been directed to the Bureau recommending Cancertation of the Section A Reserve Index card. This case has been re-evaluated in the light of the Reserve Index criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	•		•		•		
criteria and it continues to fall within such criteria because (state reason)	a letter ha	as been directed tion A Reserve I	to the Bureau r Index card.	ecommena	ing cancertation		
	📝 - criteria a	nd it continues to	duated in the li o fall within su	ight of the ch criteria	Reserve Index because		1
	(State rea	son;			*		1
2050		.*	,				, albita
THE SOLE	•	***	: :	a. *		•	
				•		TTD 007	

May 2, 1966

PERSONAL

-3597

Federal Bureau of Investigation Washington, D.C.

Dear

I have received your suggestion that a particular item be included in the format of Form FD-305. It is a pleasure for me to advise you this proposal is being adopted and steps are being taken to place it into effect when this item is next printed. You will be interested to know this notation is also appropriate for Form FD-305a and it will be placed on it too.

The interest and initiative you displayed in submitting your idea to me are indeed appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

1 - Personnel file of SA

(Suggestion 798-66 dated 4/25/66)

Suggests item 4 on Form FD-305, which is prepared by field to accompany annual reports prepared in Security Index cases, add "Date photograph was taken"

be revised to add "Date photograph was taken

Domestic Intelligence Division recommended adoption since at present time, while form does give information as to whether or not a suitable photograph of subject is available, there is no way SOG supervisors can check on its suitability. If date photograph was taken were included, supervisor could immediately tell whether it was up to date and suitable in view of the purpose of the Security Index. Form FD-305a is very similar

PLINNS 1500

10TE CONTINUED PAGE TWO

NOTE CONTINUED

but is used for the Reserve Index; Training Division has consulted with the Domestic Intelligence Division and it has been decided this item will also improve the FD-305a and will be of benefit in handling the Reserve Index. On approval, this letter will serve as the authority for the Forms Management Desk, Training Division, to revise both of these forms when they are next printed.

April 21, 1968

PERSONAL

Federal Bureau of Investigation New York, New York

I have received your suggestion concerning a INSCRIPTION revision of Form FD-122. It is a pleasure to advise you that a modification of your proposal is being adopted and it will be placed into effect when the form is next printed. The placed into effect when the form is next printed. The same changes will be applied to form FD-122a.

I want to thank you for your thoughtfulness in giving me the benefit of your observations in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

New York 1 - Field personnel file 1 - Suggestion, file Personnel file of Miss

(Suggestion 771-66 dated 4/20/66)
Based on memo to

4/21/66,

re: Form FD-122, Suggestion 771-66 Submitted By New York.

Miss

MOTE:

Routing SHp FD-4 (Rev. 1-26-66)	Date 4/20/66
To:	
Director	FILE
Att.:	
SAC JUSTICE BLDG.	_ Title
ASAC	
☐ Supv	The state of the s
Agent	
	-
SE	
□ IC	•
cc	
Steno	
Clerk	Re:
ACTI	ON DESIRED
☐ Acknowledge	Open Case
Assign Reassign	Prepare lead cards
☐ Bring file	☐ Prepare tickler
Call me	Recharge serials
☐ Correct	Return assignment card
Deadline	_ Return file
Deadline passed	Return serials
Delinquent	Search and return
Discontinue	☐ See me ☐ Send Serials
Expedite	
File For information	Submit new charge out
Hondle	Submit report by
Initial & return	Type
Leads need attention	1765
Return with explanation or no	otation as to action taken. The Factor
Would like to s	suggest that next time FD
122 is printed that	: "REGISTERED MAIL" be lowered
in order to have ad	lequate space for dissemination
of copies, agent's	and typist's initials. As
form reads now we'v	re had difficulty squeezing
in this information	and a result it often does
	e and yellow onionskin copies.
and the fact of	\$AF —
See reverse side	Office SI Unit, NYO

Much

_ 3588

FD-122 (Rev. 9-18-64)

orthoral roam no. 19

may 1992 solition

old old. std. no. 27

UNITED STATES C ZERNMENT,

Memorandum

Director, FBI (Bufile-

SAC.

) DATE:

3588 (ENCL.)

FROM:

SUBJECT:

•					
•		•			•
It is recommended that a Se Index Card be prepared on t above-captioned individual.	he		The Security captioned ind changed as for change only)	ividual si ollows (si	hould be
ame		·		,	
liases		•			
				•	
Native Born	Naturalize	d	Alien	•	
Communist Miscellaneous (specify)	Socialist W				
Tab for Detcom	Race		Sex	Male	Female
eate of Birth	Place of Birth				
Business Address (show name of emp	oloying concern and a	ddress)			
•					•
•					
Key Facility Data		.*			
Geographical Reference Number _	· .		Responsibility		
Interested Agencies	•				
Residence Address	•				

REGISTERED MAIL

EMOLOGIES.

Memorandum

то

DATE: April 21, 1966 /

FROM

SUBJECT:

FORM FD-122

SUGGESTION 771-66 SUBMITTED BY

NEW YORK
USECURITY INDEX-CENER

B-11

By routing slip dated 4/20/66,

York, suggested that Form FD-122, which is used to recommend that a subject be placed on the Security Index, be revised by lowering the preprinted inscription "Registered Mail" which appears on the lower left side of the form. explained that this would provide more space in which to type

copy count and dictator's and typist's initials.

Division, which advised that additional space would be helpful for the field. Training Division recommends that the idea be adopted with the following modifications: "Registered Mail" cannot be lowered on the form since the space presently provided on the bottom is needed for the printing press to grip, but additional space can be provided by changing the spacing of the other printed items; Form FD-122a, which is used for placement of subjects on the Reserve Index, also presents a similar problem and should be revised as well. Inasmuch as the revision is not of an urgent nature, it is believed it should be made when the forms are next printed and existing supplies are exhausted.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

attach of letter of appreciation be sent to

6 MAY 24 1966

(2) On approval, this memorandum will be authority for Forms Management Desk, Training Division, to take the necessary action when FD-122 and FD-122a are next printed.

5.6 JUN 131

3589

•

FD-122 (Rev. 9-18-64)
OPTIONAL TORM NO. 10
MAY 19-22 EDITION
GLA OTH, HO. NO. 27

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

3589 (ENCL,)

Memorano	dum		•		
: Director, FBI (Bufile-)	DATE:			
M : SAC.		•			
ECT:					
Re:					·
It is recommended that a Index Card be prepared above-captioned individ	on the		Cantione	rity Index Ca d individual a as follows (s mly):	should be
Name			· .		
Aliases		•			
•					
Native Born	Naturalized		A	lien	
Communist	Socialist Workers	Party			
Miscellaneous (specify) Tab for Detcom	Race		Sex	Male	Femal
Date of Birth	Place of Birth				
Business Address (show name of	employing concern and address)			
	end of the second of the secon				
Key Facility Data			Deanerail	ility	
Key Facility Data Geographical Reference Number Interested Agencies Residence Address	•		Responsib	ility	

REGISTERED MAIL

ISNCLOSURE

CURRENT FD-122

UNITED STATES GL EKNMENT C

Memorandum

то

DATE: May 11, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending active cases and delinquency in espionage, internal security, security matter, foreign intelligence and racial matters cases in classifications 65, 100, 105 and 157. Status of these cases as of April 30, 1966, is set forth below.

In April, 1966, there was an increase in pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 from 19,242 to 20,455. Delinquent matters increased from 1,353 to 1,367. The percentage of delinquent matters decreased from 7.0 per cent to 6.7 per cent.

During the same month, pending active matters in the 157 classification increased from 4.864 to 5,101 while delinquent matters decreased from 712 to 589. The field-wide delinquency in this classification decreased from 14.6 per cent to 11.5 per cent.

Letters are being sent to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages 4 and 5 herein, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

ACTION:

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding delinquency in the 65, 100, 105 and 157 classifications and you will be advised of the results of the review of the administrative report for May 1966

*

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

DETAILS:

The April, 1966, administrative reports for all offices indicate that the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 increased from 19,242 to 20,455. Delinquent items increased from 1,353 to 1,367. The percentage of delinquent items decreased from 7.0 per cent to 6.7 per cent. These same reports disclose that in the 157 classification total pending active matters increased from 4,864 to 5,101; delinquency decreased from 712 to 589; and the percentage of delinquency decreased from 14.6 per cent to 11.5 per cent.

The following statistics show the status of pending active matters and delinquency for all field divisions for the last six-months.

	TOTAL CLASSIFIC	PENDING A ATIONS 65, ALL FIEL	CTIVE MAT 100, 105 D DIVISIO	and 157		
Classifications	11/30/65	12/31/65	1/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66	4/30/6
65	952	1,006	993	984	964	1,02
100	9,006	8,866	8,603	8,633	8,390	9,01
105	10,076	9,902	9,591	9,617	9,888	10,41
Totals	20,034	19,774	19,247	19,234	19,242	20,45
157	5,416	4,927	4,794	4,832	4,864	5,10

2 -

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105, and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

Classifi- cations	11/30/65	12/31/65	1/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66	4/30/66
65	24	22	31	32	27	35
100	720	654	6 86	665	661	63 5
105	669	694	711	614	665	697
Totals	1,413(7.1%)	1,370(6.9%)	1,428 (7.4%)	1,311(6.8%)	1,353(7.0%)	1,367 (6.7
157	950 (17.5%)	938 (19.0%)	761 (15,9%)	655 (13.6%)	712 (14.6%)	589(11,5
10.						

Status of Security Work by Individual Office

The offices with delinquency in either category are listed alphabetically and these statistics reveal their progress during April, 1966, in the 157 classifications and in composites for the 65, 100, and 105 classifications. The delinquencies shown are based on pending active matters.

Letters are being directed to offices indicated by asterisks on the following schedule, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

- 3 -

CONTINUED - OVER

				Daldmana	n 0 17	Active Ma	tters	Delinqu	ency
•		Active M	atters	Delinque 157 Clas		65, 100 a	nd 105	65, 100 a	nd 105
		157 Cl				Classific	ations	Classific	ations
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ficat	ion	catio	n 4/30/66	3/31/66	4/30/66	3/31/66	4/30/66
٠.	Office	3/31/66	4/30/66	3/31/66	4/30/00	3/31/00	1,00,00		
				. 0 00	0.0%	181	172	6.1%	5.2%
	Albany	3	4	0.0%	0.0%	21	22	0.0%	4.5%
	Anchorage	0	2	0.0%	0.0%	64	71	10.9%	8.5%
	Atlanta	32	56	3.1%	0.0%	518	452	7.1%	7.1%
	Baltimore	29	28	6.9%	3.6%	40	45	12.5%	4.4%
	Birmingham	144	147	5.6%	6.8%	406	402	5.9%	6.7%
	Boston	4	3	0.0%	0.0%		367	10.2%	7.0%
٠.	Buffalo	19	11	0.0%	18.2%*		80	4.1%	8.7%
	Charlotte	487	501	11.7%	11.6%		1,248	5.4%	4.1%
	Chicago	123	146	0.8%	0.7%	1,228	346	8.6%	4.6%
	.Cincinnati	65	63	32.3%	0.0%	315	427	11.5%	10.8%*
,	Cleveland	, 43	33	4.7%	12.1%*		46	16.7%	15.2%*
	Columbia	363	335	29.2%	26.6%*	42	103	1.0%	1.0%
٠.	Dallas	36	51	0.0%	0.0%	104	114	4.0%	3.5%
	Denver	5	7	0.0%	0.0%	101	663	4.0%	2.9%
	Detroit	43	45	7.0%	2.2%	. 602		2.5%	2.4%
	Houston	39	31	0.0%	0.0%	80	82		8.5%
	Indianapol		40	9.1%	7.5%	334	389	10.8%	7.1%
	Jackson	813	916		17.1%	39	42	0.0%	1.7%
	Jacksonvil		70		1.4%	63	60	11.1%	
	Jacksonvill	110				2 6	28	3.8%	0.0%
	Knoxville					* 12	. 17	0.0%	0.0%
	Little Rock	· -	_		6.6%	1,462	1,554	11.6%	7.2%
	Los Angele	s 91					25	15.4%	0.0%
	Louisville	13					52		1.9%
	Memphis	33					457	7.6%	14.9%*
	Miami	112	103	0.0%	, ± , 5,0				

164 164 - 164 - 164 197	Active 157 C fic 3/31/66	lassi- ation	Delinquent 157 Classi cation 3/31/66	ifi-	Active Mat 65, 100 ar Classifica 3/31/66	nd 105	Delinque 65, 100 a Classific 3/31/66	and 105 cations	
Milwaukee Minneapolis Mobile Newark New Haven New Orleans New York Norfolk Oklahoma City Philadelphia Phoenix Pittsburgh Portland Richmond St. Louis Salt Lake City San Antonio San Diego San Francisco San Juan Savannah Seattle: Springfied Tampa WFO	8 11 489 26 3	7 12 478 34 3 558 558 7 102 2 12 120 4 3 4 12 3 4 12	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 3.8% 7.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 3.0% 0.0% 1.9%	0.0% 0.0% 7.5% 0.0% 2.9% 2.0% 2.0% 0.0%	4,009 49 46 692 63 189 115 114 190 67 97 123 1,566 466 24 350 84 139	211 361 18 788 456 120 4,283 48 53 725 84 205 113 131 207 51 105 120 1,655 488 19 381 104 149 1,962	6.5% 4.8% 1.6% 10.6% 5.3% 5.8% 5.2% 7.6% 7.6% 4.2% 0.4% 5.8%	5.7% 6.0%% 9.7%% 11.7%% 14.5%% 14.5%% 14.5%% 13.0%% 13.0%% 13.0%% 13.0%% 13.0%% 13.0%% 13.0%% 14.0%%	

''

- 5 ·

SAC, Newark

3-4-66

Director, FBI

IS - CUBA

Cards UTD Cards Sent 00

The Department has advised that INS has been instructed to preposition the necessary documents calling for the apprehension of the subject when so instructed by the Attorney General. This means INS has been authorized to prepare a Deportation Warrant and Orders to Show Cause which INS will execute when ordered by the Attorney General.

Under separate cover, you will be furnished Security Index cards on subject which will set forth the stamped notation "Deportation Warrant Outstanding." This information should not be set forth in any communication prepared for dissemination.

NOTE:
Subject's name is included in Cuban Special Section of the Security Index.

DILLICULE AETTOM

NOT RECORDED

No.

MICHAEL FILED IN

UNRECORDED

Assistant Attorney Coneral

Eay 26, 1966

Director, FDI

Security Index card eagestied

CUCAN EMERGENCY PLASS INTERNAL SERVETTY - CUBA

The case of FDI file number was approved for includion on the Security Index by Departmental letter dated November 28, 1962.

Tou have been furnished a copy of a memorandum dated Eay 13, 1366, at Revark, New Jersey, which sets forth information that departed Canada on March 31, 1366, on route to Cuba. Since is an alien and has left the United States, the Security Index card in being canceled.

NOTE TO SAC, HEWARK!

file His Security Index cards have been canceled at the Eureau and you should take similar action with the cards pertaining to in your possession.

MOTE: Classified since letter sets forth information which pertains to the Security Index and the Emergency Detention Program, component parts of which are so classified by the Department.

NOT RECORDED 123 JUN 6 1966

match dimma them

PIGINAL FILED IN

3591

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: May 27, 1966

FROM

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - Coner

During the last week 9 cards were added to the Security Index and 35 cards were cancelled, a net decrease of 26 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,947.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

May 27, 1966

Assistant Attorney General

Director, IBI

security lader card cancelled

Cuman emercency plans Internal Sectative - Cuma

The name of the file number was approved for inclusion on the Security Index by Departmental letter dated December 7, 1985.

dated May 18, 1966, at Movert, New Jersey, which sets forth information that departed Canada on March 31, 1966, on route to Cuba. Since is an alien and has left the United States, the Security Index card is being canceled.

You may desire to excel the document calling for her apprehension, which was proviously prepositioned by the immigration and Maturalization Service.

NOTE FOR EAC. HEWARK:

of your file Her Security Index cards have been canceled at the Eureau and you should take similar action with the cards portaining to her in your possession.

MOTE: Classified since letter sets forth information which pertains to the Security Index and the Emergency Detention Program, component parts of which are so that the the Department.

NOT RECORDED 128 JUN 6 1966

IMAL PITEIN IN

Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division Director, FBI June 2. 1965

EMERGENCY DETENTION PROGRAM

The name of the subject appearing below has been approved for inclusion in the Security Index by the Internal Security Division of the Department.

Name:

FBI File Number:

Department Approved: September 13, 1963

Date of Last Report:

June 6, 1963

Investigation has failed to develop evidence of recent subversive activities or affiliations on the part of the subject. All pertinent information concerning the reported past subversive activities of the subject has been furnished the Records Atlministration Office.

It is requested that the results of the investigation be reviewed to determine whether the subject's name should continue to be included in the Security Index.

NOTE: SAC. New York

Pending receipt of reply from Department, subject's name will be retained in Security Index.

hed "Secret" as pertains to SI and EDP, component parts of which are so classified nent.

DISTINGT AFTOM

NOT RECORDED

55 JUN 13 1900

NI COST

MAY 1942 SDITTON SAN GEN. MG. 197
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENTS

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: 6/3/66

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX SAMEYA

Ber

The following is a report of the status of the Security Index since the last count was furnished 5/6/66.

Week of:	Cards Added	Cards Cancelled	Net Change
May 9 - 13	19	18	+ 1
May 16 - 20	9	6	+ 3
May 23 - 27	9	35	- 26
May 31 -	<u>16</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>- 6</u>
June 3	53	81	- 28

During the above-mentioned period of time, there was a net decrease of 28 cards in the Security Index.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period, 59 cards were added to the Security Index and 62 cards were cancelled, resulting in a net decrease of 3 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,941.

ACTION:

This is for your information.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

то

DATE: June 1, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX - Con 2/2/

There is a need to reduce the volume of material coming to this office where it can be done without sacrificing essential controls.

There was a very substantial increase in the volume of mail when the Crime Records Division was placed under the over-all supervision of this office. A recent mail count revealed an average of over 360 items daily (incoming and outgoing) crossing my desk.

At the present time all additions to the Security

Index are finally approved in this office after having
previously been approved by the case supervisor, Unit Superavisor in Charge, Section Chief and Assistant Director in the
Domestic Intelligence Division. This system was instituted
in the Spring of 1960 by former Assistant to the Director
and requires review by me of the recommendations as well as the supporting material. (See copy of memo
to 5/20/60, attached.) Prior to that time

final approval was rested in appropriate Section Chiefs.

while I am unable to locate in the files the background which prompted to institute this procedure, I recall that there was some concern at that time as to the large number of persons on the Security Index. In this regard, on 5/20/60 there were 12,339 persons on the Security Index as compared with 9,947 as of 5/27/66.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that authority for final approval \\ENCLOBERCTORDITECTOR of the Domestic Intelligence Division.

3593 (EXCL.)

131de / Jill - 51 police

SECURITY INDEX

Ify memorandum of December 3, 1958, set forth the mechanics involved in the approval of an individual's name for inclusion in the Security Index (SI). Briefly, the mechanics were: (1) Review of each case by the substantive supervisor; (2) Review by the supervisor in charge of the particular unit; and (3) Final approval on the Section Chief's level except very close cases which were referred to you.

Above practice was continued until the recent past; however, upon instructions from office, in addition to the above steps, all cases are now being referred to you for review and subsequently to office for the final decision with respect to the inclusion of the individual's name in the SI.

The mechanics involved in the deletion of a subject's name from the SI captinue to be the same as described in referenced memorandum of December 3, 1958, namely, if déletion is recommended by the field and the substantive supervisor and the supervisor in charge of the unit both concur with the field's recommendation, the deletion is made at that level. In those cases, however, where any appreciable room for doubt exists as to whether a subject's name should be deleted from the SI, the cases are referred to my office, and in some instances to you, for a final determination.

ACTION:

For information.

- 35/13

ENCLOSURE

June 9, 1966

Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

CUBAN EMERGENCY PLANS INTERNAL SECURITY - CUBA

Security lades dard expectled

The name of was approved for inclusion on the Security Index by Departmental letter dated January 4, 1965.

dated May 13, 1966, at New York, New York, which sets forth information that surrendered his Alien Registration Receipt Card at the United States Embassy, Madrid, Spain, according to information received by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) during March, 1966. Since is an alien and has left the United States, his Security Index card is being cancelled.

You may desire to cancel the document calling for his apprehension which was previously prepositioned by INS.

NOTE FOR SAC, NEW YORK:

Security Index card has been cancelled at the Bureau and you should take similar action with regard to the Security Index card maintained on ______ by your office.

NOT RECOMPTED 145 JUN 15 1966

fied " since Security Index is mentioned ent so classifies the Security Index program.

MCHAPT THE B

MAY 1943 SMITON
GEA CON. NO. NO. 27

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

TC

.DATE: 6/10/66

FROM

SUBJECT SECURITY INDEX - (- ne)

During the past week 11 cards were added to the Security Index and 20 cards were cancelled, a net decrease of 9 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,932.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division

June 10, 1966

Director, EBI

EMERGENCY DETENTION PROGRAM

The name of the subject appearing below has been approved for inclusion in the Security Index by the Internal Security Division of the Department.

Name:

FBI File Number:

Department Approved:

November 6, 1962

Date of Last Report:

January 31, 1964

Investigation has failed to develop evidence of recent subversive activities or affiliations on the part of the subject. All pertinent information concerning the reported past subversive activities of the subject has been furnished the Records Administration Office.

It is requested that the results of the investigation be reviewed to determine whether the subject's name should continue to be included in the Security Index.

Now York NOTE: SAC,

Pending receipt of reply from Department, subject's hame will be retained in Security Index.

NOT BECOMDED

as pertains to SI and EDP, component parts of which are so classified

UNITED STATES G 'ERNMENT

Memorandum

 \mathcal{V} то

DATE 6/13/66

FROM

SUBJECT DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending active cases and delinquency in espionage, internal security, security matter, foreign intelligence and racial matters cases in classifications 65, 100, 105 and 157. Status of these cases as of May 31, 1966, is set forth below.

In May, 1966, there was an increase in pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 from 20,455 to 20,536. Delinquent matters increased from 1,367 to 1,573. The percentage of delinquent matters increased from 6.7 per cent to 7.7 per cent.

During the same month, pending active matters in the 157 classification decreased from 5,101 to 4,925 while delinquent matters decreased from 589 to 572. The field-wide delinquency in this classification increased from 11.5 per cent to 11.6 per cent.

Letters are being sent to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages 4 and 5 herein, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

ACTION:

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding delinquency in the 65, 100, 105 and 157 classifications and you will be advised of the results of the review of the administrative report for June, 1966.

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

DETAILS:

The May, 1966, administrative reports for all offices indicate that the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100 and 105 increased from 20,455 to 20,536. Delinquent items increased from 1,367 to 1,573. The percentage of delinquent items increased from 6.7 per cent to 7.7 per cent. These same reports disclose that in the 157 classification total pending active matters decreased from 5,101 to 4,925; delinquency decreased from 589 to 572; and the percentage of delinquency increased from 11.5 per cent to 11.6 per cent.

The following statistics show the status of pending active matters in delinquency for all field divisions for the last six months.

	CLASSIFIC	ATIONS 65	ACTIVE MA , 100, 10 DIVISIONS	5 and 157		
Classifications	12/31/65	1/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66	4/30/66	5/31/66
65	1,006	993	984	964	1,029	913
100	8,866	8,663	8,633	8,390	9,010	9,011
105	9,902	9,591	9,617	9,888	10,416	10,612
Totals	19,774	19,247	19,234	19,242	20,455	20,536
1 57	4,927	4,794	4,832	4,864	5,101	4,925

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105 and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

Classifi- cations	12/31/65	1/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66	4/30/66	5/31/66	•
65	22	31	32	27	35	30	
100	654	686	6 65	661	635	747	
105	694	711	614	665	697	796	
Totals	1,370(6.9	%)1 , 428 (7.4	4%)1 , 311(6 . 8°	7) 1,353(7.0)	% 1,367 (6.7°	%1,573(7.7%))
157	938(19	.0%)761(15.	9%) 655(13.	6%) 712 (14.	6%) 589(11.	.5%) 572(11.6%	= %)
•							

Status of Security Work by Individual Office

The offices with delinquency in either category are listed alphabetically and these statistics reveal their progress during May, 1966, in the 157 classifications and in composites for the 65, 100, and 105 classifications. The delinquencies shown are based on pending active matters.

Letters are being directed to offices indicated by asterisks on the following schedule, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

Active Matters 157 Classi-				•						
157 Classi-fication	· 10				Dolingsency		Active Matters		Delinquency '	
Section Sect					•	•	65, 100 and 105 Classifications		Classifications	
Albany			- - ·							
Albany 4 3 0.0% 0.0% 172 192 5.2% 4.7% Anchorage 2 1 0.0% 0.0% 22 24 4.5% 4.2% Anchorage 2 1 0.0% 0.0% 3.4% 71 76 8.5% 10.5%* Atlanta 56 58 0.0% 3.4% 71 76 8.5% 10.5%* 10.5%* 11tmore 28 57 3.6% 7.0% 452 519 7.1% 7.5% 3.5% 10.5%* 11tmore 28 57 3.6% 7.0% 452 519 7.1% 7.5% 3.5% 10.5%* 11tmore 3 2 2 0.0% 0.0% 402 417 6.7% 6.7% 6.7% 10.5%* 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* 10.5%* 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* 10.5%* 10.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% 10.5%* 10.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% 10.6% 10										
Albany Anchorage 2 1 0.0% 0.0% 22 24 4.5% 4.2% Anchorage 2 1 0.0% 3.4% 71 76 8.5% 10.5%* Atlanta 56 58 0.0% 3.4% 71 76 8.5% 10.5%* Atlainta 7 litimore 28 57 3.6% 7.0% 452 519 7.1% 7.5% irmingham 147 137 6.8% 8.8% 45 43 4.4% 2.3% Birmingham 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Butte 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Butte 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Chicago 146 170 0.7% 2.9% 1,248 1,208 4.1% 6.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cleveland 33 33 12.1% 3.0% 427 424 10.8% 7.1% Columbia 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 335 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dailas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 2.9% Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 2.5 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 2.5 29 0.0% 10.3%*	<u>Office</u>	4/30)/66 3	0/31/00	4/30/00 3	7 317 00				
Anchorage 2 1 0.0% 0.0% 22 24 4.5% 4.2% Anchorage 56 58 0.0% 3.4% 71 76 8.5% 10.5%* Atlanta 56 58 3.6% 7.0% 452 519 7.1% 7.5% altimore 28 57 3.6% 7.0% 452 519 7.1% 7.5% altimore 147 137 6.8% 8.8% 45 43 4.4% 2.3% birmingham 147 137 6.8% 8.8% 45 43 4.4% 2.3% Boston 3 2 0.0% 0.0% 402 417 6.7% 6.7% 8.5% Buffalo 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Buffalo 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Butte 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Chicago 146 170 0.7% 2.9% 1.248 1.208 4.1% 6.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Clumbia 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Douston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% 0.0% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 0.0% 0.0% 2.6% 380 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 34		•		3	0.0%	0.0%	172	192		
Anchorage Atlanta 56 58 0.0% 3.4% 71 76 8.5% 10.5%* Atlanta 56 58 0.0% 3.4% 7.0% 452 519 7.1% 7.5% 7.5% Jirmingham 147 137 6.8% 8.8% 45 43 4.4% 2.3% Jirmingham 147 137 6.8% 8.8% 45 402 417 6.7% 6.7% 6.7% Boston 3 2 0.0% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Buffalo 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Butte 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Butte 5 51 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Chicago 146 170 0.7% 2.9% 1,248 1,208 4.1% 6.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% 57 58 58 60 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	_				_	-	22	24		
Atlanta 350 31.6% 7.0% 452 519 7.1% 7.5% 28 57 3.6% 7.0% 452 519 7.1% 7.5% 28 57 0.0% 0.0% 402 417 6.7% 6.7% Boston 3 2 0.0% 0.0% 402 417 6.7% 6.7% Buffalo 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Butfalo 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Butte 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Chicago 146 170 0.7% 2.9% 1.248 1.208 4.1% 6.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cleveland 33 33 12.1% 3.0% 427 424 10.8% 7.1% Cleveland 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Louisville 106 8 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 52 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1.554 1.604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*		•			-			76	8.5%	
Solution 147 137 6.8% 8.8% 45 43 4.4% 2.3% 171 180 147 137 6.8% 8.8% 45 43 4.4% 2.3% 171 180 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5% 10.			_				•	519	7.1%	
Boston 3 2 0.0% 0.0% 402 417 6.7% 6.7% Boston 3 2 0.0% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Buffalo 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Butte 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Charlotte 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cleveland 33 33 12.1% 3.0% 427 424 10.8% 7.1% Cleveland 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Dallas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Ouston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% 0uston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% 1ndianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 0.0% 6.7% 56 0.0% 0.0% 56 75 55 53 1.9% 15.1%*		_							4.4%	2.3%
Boston 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Buffalo 11 10 18.2% 0.0% 367 325 7.0% 10.5%* Butte 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Chicago 146 170 0.7% 2.9% 1,248 1,208 4.1% 60.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 9 6 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 55 29 0.0% 10.3%*	irminghamر	•	_						6.7%	6.7%
Butte 5 5 0.0% 0.0% 47 46 0.0% 2.2% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% 6.0% Chicago 146 170 0.7% 2.9% 1.248 1.208 4.1% 6.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cleveland 33 33 12.1% 3.0% 427 424 10.8% 7.1% Cleveland 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Dallas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 0.0% 0.0% 389 349 349 349 349 349 349 349 349 349 34	V Committee of the Comm				· ·				7.0%	10.5%*
Butte 50 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% Charlotte 501 480 11.6% 10.8%* 80 56 8.7% 7.1% 6.0% Chicago 146 170 0.7% 2.9% 1.248 1.208 4.1% 6.0% Chicago 146 170 0.7% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% 6.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% 7.1% Cleveland 33 33 12.1% 3.0% 427 424 10.8% 7.1% Cleveland 33 35 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Columbia 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Honolulu 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 10.1% 0.05 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.05 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 11e 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Louisville 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1.554 1.604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 55 29 0.0% 10.3%*	Buffalo								0.0%	2.2%
Charlotte Chicago Chicago Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Cleveland Cleveland Columbia	. 4			_	-				8.7%	7.1%
Chicago (146 170 0.7% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.9% 346 326 4.6% 4.0% Cleveland 33 33 12.1% 3.0% 427 424 10.8% 7.1% Cleveland 33 5298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Dallas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 40.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 40.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 10.3% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% Jackson 916 934 17.1% Jackson 916 934 17.1% Jackson 916 934 17.1% Jackson 916 934 17.1% Jackson 916	Charlotte						_		4.1%	6.0%
Cincinnati 63 68 0.0% 2.0% 427 424 10.8% 7.1% Cleveland 33 33 12.1% 3.0% 427 424 10.8% 7.1% Reversion of the control of the co	Chicago	.			-		•		-	
Cleveland Columbia 335 298 26.6% 25.5%* 46 37 15.2% 18.9%* Dallas Dallas Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% 1ndianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% 1ndianapolis Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% 50 50 50 67 75 0.0% 67.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 15 15 16 101 12.9% 3.0% 2.8 2.6 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.554 1.604 7.2% 11.9%* 1.9% 15.1%*	Cincinnati									7.1%
Columbia 335 298 26.6% 23.5% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Dallas 51 51 0.0% 3.9% 103 102 1.0% 2.9% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 0.0% ouston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% ouston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Intitle Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% 1.554 1.604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 29 0.0% 10.3%* 1.9% 15.1%*	Cleveland									18.9%*
Dallas 51 51 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 114 134 3.5% 5.2% O.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% ouston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% ouston 916 934 17.1% 10.5% 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5% 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% 1.5% Louisville 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1,554 1,604 7.2% 11.9% Louisville 106 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3% Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 59 0.0% 15.1%*	Columbia									2.9%
Denver 7 6 0.0% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 663 685 2.9% 0.1% Honolulu 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% ouston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% ouston 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Ittle Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% 1.554 1.604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*	Dallas			and the second s						
Detroit 45 52 2.2% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% 4001 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 39 51 0.0% 2.0% ouston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% 1.554 1.604 7.2% 11.9%* Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1.554 1.604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 59 53 1.9% 15.1%*	Denver		•							
Honolulu 1 1 1 0.0% 0.0% 82 89 2.4% 0.0% ouston 31 38 0.0% 2.6% 82 89 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Indianapolis 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1,554 1,604 7.2% 11.9%* Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1.554 1,604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*	· 1	•	45							
Ouston 31 38 0.0% 2.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.3% Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 389 343 8.5% 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Colombia City 8 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% 1.554 1,604 7.2% 11.9%* Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1.554 1,604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*	Honolulu	•	. 1		-					
Indianapolis 40 15 7.5% 0.0% 369 345 7.1% 0.0% Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.5%* 42 45 7.1% 0.0% Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% 1.5%* Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1.554 1.604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*	ouston	v s	31	_						
Jackson 916 934 17.1% 10.3% 42 Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 1.7% 2.3% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1,554 1,604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 20.6%* 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*	·	Ls	40							
Jacksonville 70 64 1.4% 4.7% 60 43 10 0.0% 6.7% Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 67 75 0.0% 6.7% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1,554 1,604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 20.6%* 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*			916	934						-
Kansas City 8 10 0.0% 0.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 28 26 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% 11.9% Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1,554 1,604 7.2% 11.9% Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* 1.9% 15.1%*	•	le	7 0 '	64	_					
Knoxville 116 101 12.9% 3.0% 20 20 20 10.0% 0.0% Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 0.0% 0.0% 11.9%* Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1,554 1,604 7.2% 11.9%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 20.6%* 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*			8	10						
Little Rock 49 35 10.2% 2.9% 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18			116	101						
Los Angeles 106 105 6.6% 1.0% 1,554 1,554 1,554 1,554 1,554 10.3%* Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* 25 29 0.0% 10.3%* 27 20 6%* 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*		k	49	35					·	
Louisville 10 8 0.0% 0.0% 25 29 0.0% 10.3% 10.3% 20 6% 52 53 1.9% 15.1%*			106	105	6.6%			•		
20 20 6% 57 53 1.5% 15.1%				8	0.0%	-		-		
Tiemphilo				34	3.0%	20.6%*	52	53	1.7%	TO T 10"
	Tiempiirs	1:1:	-		•				-	

CONTINUED - OVER

- 4 -

35	
359	
3	
•	
Off:	ľ

Office	Active M 157 C1 ficat 4/30/66	assi- ion	Delinqu 157 Cla ficati 4/30/66 5	ssi- on	Active Matters 65, 100 and 105 Classifications 4/30/66 5/31/66		Delinquency' 65, 100 and 105 Classifications 4/30/66 5/31/66	
Miami Milwaukee Minneapolis Mobile ewark New Haven New Orleans New York Norfolk Oklahoma City Omaha Philadelphia Phoenix Pittsburgh Portland Richmond St. Louis an Antonio San Diego San Francisco San Juan Savannah Seattle Springfield Tampa	103 7 12 478 34 4 558 25 102 12 3 120 3 12 4 217 154 18 15	134 5 426 27 4 492 24 104 16 2 128 3 13 2 204 192 18 14 41 0 29 19 14 168 55	1.9% 0.0% 0.0% 7.5% 2.9% 0.0% 25.6% 4.0% 0.0%	3.7% 0.0% 0.0% 14.8%* 0.0% 29.5%* 29.2%* 4.8% 6.3% 0.0% 2.5%* 0.0% 2.1%* 0.0% 2.4% 0.0% 2.4% 0.0% 2.4% 0.0% 2.4% 0.0% 2.4% 0.0% 2.5%*	457 211 361 18 788 456 120 4,283 48 53 52 725 84 205 113 131 207 105 120 1,655 488 19 381 104 149	448 232 328 19 767 513• 124 4,289 46 73 55 753 87 239 103 106 188 102 120 1,580 515 16 372 101 109 2,025	14.9% 5.7% 6.6% 0.0% 8.1% 9.6% 11.7% 8.5% 14.6% 5.7% 0.0% 5.4% 4.8% 4.9% 7.1% 13.0% 9.7% 3.8% 8.3% 6.7% 5.3% 5.3% 1.9% 6.0% 4.0%	14.1%* 6.0% 4.3% 10.5%* 7.6% 11.5%* 4.0% 9.5% 4.3% 1.4% 7.3% 9.3% 5.9% 6.8% 1.9% 26.6%* 5.9% 10.8%* 3.9% 3.9% 3.9% 3.7% 5.0%
A Sphis	·	} 1	/ 'j + ',					

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPF

то

DATE: 6/17/66

FROM:

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

During the past week 25 cards were added to the Security Index and 9 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 16 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,948.

ACTION:

None. This for your information.

Ama 14. 1968

Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

CURAN ENERGENCY PLANS INTERNAL SECUEITY - CUBA

the Records Administration Office concerning
FEL File Humber the most recent of which
was the report of Special Agent dated
Eay 23, 1966, at New York, New York.

Security Index.

You may desire to arrange for the issuance of documents necessary for the apprehension of by the Immigration and Maturalization Service (INS) in the event of an emergency involving the United States and Cuba. Reports relating to have been furnished the appropriate INS District Director. It is requested this Dureau be advised of your decision concerning the issuance of the above-mentioned documents.

...

NOTE: Classified as pertains to Security Index and EDP, components of which are so classified by Department.

is current, active member of Casa de las Americas (CDLA), a pro-Castro organization. She has attended affairs, propper foremost puerto Rican independence organization well as other organizations identified as pro-Castro. For and husband are officials of the CDLA. She was then interviewed.

NOT RECORDED 37 JUN 16 1986

5/27/66

DIRECTOR, FBI

SAC, MINNEAPOLIS

AMERICAN COMMITTEE FOR THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL IS - MISCELLANEOUS

Re report of SA dated 5/27/66.

at Minneapolis

Recently a Twin Cities local of the American

Committee for the Fourth International (ACFI) was organized
in the St. Paul-Minneapolis, Minnesota, area under the
leadership of
is a former member of
the Twin Cities Branch of the Socialist Workers Party
(TCB-SWP) and is carried on the Security Index of the
Minneapolis Office. The balance of the presently known
membership is also carried on the Security Index by reason
of recent affiliation with the SWP. However,
a member of the ACFI, has never been associated
with the SWP.

The Bureau is requested to advise whether or not membership in the ACFI is sufficient grounds for inclusion on the Security Index.

NOT KECORI AP 193 JUN 10 1966

6/17/66

Director, FBI

AMERICAN COMMITTEE FOR THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL INTERNAL SECURITY - SWP

Reference is made to your letter dated 5/27/66.

The above committee was formed by members of the Socialist Workers Party (SWP) minority faction following expulsion from the SWP in 1964. The group opposed the SWP leadership in its decision to reunite with the Fourth International, a world Trotskyist organization, accusing the leadership of adopting the views of those who favored "bureaucratic" revolution over the genuine "revolutionary working class outlook" of Leon Trotsky. It was formed as an alternative to other Trotskyist groups for the purpose of "rebuilding a revolutionary socialist movement in the United States."

Affiliates of this organization should be investigated and considered for inclusion in the Security Index. The decision as to whether such action should be taken will be dependent upon the evidence developed regarding a subject's active participation in the organization in support of the basic aims and purposes of the organization and the subject's knowledge of the revolutionary aims and purposes of the organization.

mentioned in referenced communication as a member of the above committee, should be investigated and her name considered for inclusion in the Security Index.

(Security Index)-GeN

56 JUN 28 1959.

Himinum mericial

Iti wallan as a manual

morandum ROUTE IN ENV



DATE: 6/20/66

SAC, Quantico

SECURITY INDEX LISTS SUBJECT:

> Enclosed herewith is one copy each of the following lists for transmittal to the SAC, Quantico, for storage with other vital records maintained by him:

- 1) Alphabetical list of Security Index (SI) subjects.
- 2) Geographical list of SI subjects.
- 3) Special Section of the SI.
- 4) List of key figures and top functionaries.
- List of SI subjects out of country.
- List of subjects whose citizenship status is unknown.
- List of aliens. 7)
- List of SI subjects of Chinese descent.
- 9) List of SI subjects sympathetic to Communist China.
- 10) List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba and China. 11)
- List of SI subjects who are defectors.
- 13) List of Progressive Labor Party members.
- List of SI subjects who are Chinese defectors.
- 100-358086 List of SI subjects who are Cuban defectors.
- 16) List of missing SI subjects.

6 JUN 23 1066

CONTINUED - OVER

3597

Memorandum for RE: SECURITY INDEX LISTS 100-358086

The SAC, Quantico, should destroy by burning the lists furnished 5/16/66. When this action has been taken, he should so note on this memorandum and return it to the Subversive Control Section.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum and the attached lists will be forwarded to Quantico for the above action.

\$

THI

. . . .

Handled by Quantico

UNITED STATES GOVE 4ENT

Memorandum

TO

DATE: 6/20/66

FROM-

- genL

SUBJECT SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There are 9,935 persons represented in the Security Index as of June 15, 1966. Of the total, 6,901 or 69.5 per cent are communists.

A detailed table, copy enclosed, shows as of June 15, 1966, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, citizenship and "special lists." A key to abbreviations is enclosed.

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

AL	Alien
DC	Detcom
KF	Key Figure
NA	Naturalized
NB	Native Born
TF	Top Functionary
UN	Unknown
AEP	Atomic Energy Program Employees
ASC	American Socialist Clubs
COM	Communist Party, USA
CUB	Cuban
CZE	Czechoslovakian
ESP	Espionage Subjects
FGE	Foreign Government Employees
GOV	United States Government Employees
ISL	Independent Socialist League
I FG	Johnson Forest Group
MIS	Miscellaneous
MMI	Moslem Mosque, Inc.
NOI	Nation of Islam
PLP	Progressive Labor Party
POL	Polish
\mathbf{PPA}	Proletarian Party of America
PRN	Puerto Rican Nationalist
RUS	Russian
SWP	Socialist Workers' Party
UNE	United Nations Employees
YUG	Yugoslavian

-3578

4	r ver e e e e e e e	er en e <mark>rme</mark> der per er er er er er er er er								
				SECURITY INCEX CARE D ON VOUCHER-STATISTICAL	C STATISTICS - BY L SECTION ALCOPOS	FIELC CFFICE AS GF ALME 15. 19	44			
n/	ont of the control of	SALIGN	13) BLISTIC TERCERCY OR OPGANIZATION AFFI	LEALER	(4).	(5) SEA		CITIZENSELP STATES	(7) RACE	SPECIAL SECTION
PIREC CARICE PART RUCCEAUCE LATARAGE LANGE	44 1014E	COP 194 JP6 NOT	2 2 2	CUB YUG CZE POL PLP 1 1 2	DC RF 15 & 4 2	1F FALE 1	FEMALE 22 7 2 5	NS NA AL UN NS 5 22 1 2 17	BELTE RECRO CHIR OTHER 188 2 22 1 7 8 9	AEPS. PEE COV CHE TUC
ATTPCHA APTICE AP STC. AFALC TTE ARLETTE	205 - 205 - 78 - 36 - 15	51 26 120 1 11 99 20 34	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 5 3 1 10	25 4 47 14 26 4 6 3	1 5v	1 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45	73 16 1 165 37 3 68 9 1 33 3	60 30 3 3 179 25 66 32 36 8 7	
ICALC ACTINATE CONSTRUCTIONS ALPETP LLAY:	736 53 7 Jas	595 1 46 17 20 11v 11	12 12 4 2 4 64 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 2 2 1	103 21 10 32 7 8 1	8 466 43 2 118 3 20	2/3 1C 67	598 122 19 52 1 159 26 1 1 5 23	545 194 24 29 137 48 5	,
Rete FECTF PASC ACCULL LSTCR	1 460 1 3 1 14 1 23	31 220 20 70 5 10 10	i 15 r 3 4 36 r 1 1	1	19 5 70 11 1 10 1 5 1	1 285 2 16 16	16 115 1	944 5 2 1 935 57 8 3 14 20 1 2	10 139 2 259 139 2 3 6 14 9	
CIRNAPCEIS CASCAVILLE CASCAVILLE ASSICTIVE CAVILLE				;		11	22	57 12 2 7 1 13	46 23 2 6 2 7 9	
THE PCCE SANGERS LISTILL PPHIS	4,419	1,170 12 20	a 10 f 8 14C'	25 1	20% 67	· / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	3 670 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,207 115 1 11 2 1 6	
1 = 0 = 0 t	319 319	76 2 157 200 1 6	2 1 2 20 1 6 2 6 15	14 2	28 10 36 12 61 2	1 69 1 134 227	81 85 86	97 10 3 198 19 239 - 52 21 1	104 6 215 2 241 8C 2	1 2
S CHEAS S YOUR HEIR JAPONA CETT	2,1/2	1,96C 2 18 5	7 21 04 121 52 1 100	106 2 105	5 1	12 1,672	1,057	15 1,920 692 1C8 9 20 1	2,362 34C 25 2 1 2C	12 3 2
TLACTEPHER JENIA TTSCHRUM ITLAC JATIN NAT LEUES	5cn 26 5c 5cn 26 5cn 71	231 1 1 97 1 1 24 1			13 N 7 5	107 17 36 53 1e 45	16 28 5	248 51 7 20 6 38 5 3 75 5 1 20 2 1 42 1 1 1	250 5c 19 6 1 35 1G 1 78 3	
1 146 C11V 111CC 1 11CC 1 148 C15CC	1,100	3	1 2 1 2 3 14 3 11 7 8 124	1 9 52 5	5 3 7 1 12 4 204 R	2 d5/	17 44.5 58	18 17 1 1 54 9 5 414 137 44 5 447 4 5 1	10 11 e 51 14 6 959 96 34 11 471 36	
IF A SPECE	133	145		19 1	22	1 165 34 46	142 4 14 50	275 to 5 t 8 7 1 1 115 10 5	31 15 101 35	
16116	1,139	. 4,701 7 51 65	0 23 207 615 20 120 17 003	237 4 211	1 1,487 19	27 4,310	\$1.425	7,850 1,754 105 24	6,297 1,537 67 34	14 34 2

III'' IC NOT ACD IC COLUPR 2, BUT ARE INCLUSED IN SECTIONS 5, P. S. & AND F. COLUPRS OF AND IF ARE INCLUSED IN DE COLUMI.

62/62.)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

то

DATE: 6/24/66

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY IN

Fen.

During the past week 11 cards were added to the Security Index and 8 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 3 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,951.

ACTION:

Assistant Attorney General

June 22, 1966

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. Those individuals coming within four designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information indicating the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number, and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost

security.

Enclosure

100-358086

NOTE:

Letter classified " as it pertains to
Security Index, part of " Emergency Detention
Program of Department. Espionage Section of Special Section
not included. Four designated groups are:

. U. S. Government Employees

Foreign Government Employees United Nations Employees

Cubans

WALL ROOM TO TREAT THE COURT

16: Jun 27 1355

JUN 2.91986

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

MemorandumROUTE IN ENVELOPE

TO

DATE: 7/1/66

FROM

SUBJECT SECURITY INDEX

The following is a report of the status of the Security Index since the last count was furnished 6/3/66.

Week of:	Cards Added	Cards Cancelled	Net Change
June 6 - 10	25	20	- 9
June 13 - 17		9	+ 16
June 20 - 24		8	+ 3
June 27 -	<u>15</u>	. <u>36</u>	<u>- 21</u>
July 1	62	73	- 11

During the above-mentioned period of time, there was a net decrease of 11 cards in the Security Index.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period, 53 cards were added to the Security Index and 81 cards were cancelled, resulting in a net decrease of 28 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,930.

ACTION:

UNITED STATES GOVEL MENT

MemorandumROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: 7/8/66

10

FROM -:

S BJECT SECU

SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 14 cards were added to the Security Index and 12 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 2 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,932.

ACTION:

scurity ladez card eascelled

RAC. Nov York

Director, FBI

IS - CUDA

Lu

Bourlet 5/18/68.

On 7/6/66 Department advised subject's case was reviewed and determination made he be deleted from Security Index.

Security Index cards maintained at Bureau regarding subject have been canceled. Cancel cards maintained on subject by your office.

Although subject in the past was active in July 25th Hovement, there has been no such activity since 1961; therefore, it is not believed subject should be placed on Reserve Index. Premain after any information indicating subject is engaged in activities inimical to interests of United States.

(9)

an official in July 26th Hovement and allegedly participated in demonstrations sponsored by July 26th Hovement in vicinity of U. N. during 1959-1960. Investigation has disclosed no such activities on part of subject since 1961 and Department has advised be should be removed from Security Index.

NOT PECORDED 176 JUL 15 1966

DUPLICATE YELLOW.

55.44.251916

Control of the second

UNITED STATES C AJERNMENT

Memorandum

DATE: July 13, 1966

FROM

y TO

SUBJECT DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending active cases and delinquency in espionage, internal security, security matter, foreign intelligence and racial matters cases in classifications 65, 100, 105 and 157. Status of these cases as of June 30, 1966, is set forth below.

In June 30, 1966, there was a decrease in pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100 and 105 from 20,536 to 19,950. Delinquent matters decreased from 1,573 to 1,355. The percentage of delinquent matters decreased from 7.7 per cent to 6.8 per cent.

During the same month, pending active matters in the 157 classification decreased from 4,925 to 4,896 while delinquent matters increased from 572 to 583. The field-wide delinquency in this classification increased from 11.6 per cent to 11.9 per cent.

Letters are being sent to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages 4 and 5 herein, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

ACTION:

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding delinquency in the 65, 100, 105 and 157 classifications and you will be advised of the results of the review of the administrative report for July, 1966.

į

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

DETAILS:

The June, 1966, administrative reports for all offices indicate that the total pending active matters in classification 65, 100 and 105 decreased from 20,536 to 19,950. Delinquent items decreased from 1,573 to 1,355. The percentage of delinquent items decreased from 7.7 per cent to 6.8 per cent. These same reports disclose that in the 157 classification total pending active matters decreased from 4,925 to 4,896; delinquency increased from 572 to 583; and the percentage of delinquency increased from 11.6 per cent to 11.9 per cent.

The following statistics show the status of pending active matters in delinquency for all field divisions for the last six months.

TOTAL PENDING ACTIVE MATTERS
CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105 and 157
ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

157	4,794	4,832	4,864	5,101	4,925	4,896
105 Totals	9,591 19,247	$\frac{9,617}{19,234}$	$\frac{9,888}{19,242}$	10,416 20,455	10,612 20,536	$\frac{10,338}{19,950}$
100	8,663	8,633	8,390	9,010	9,011	8,721
65	9 93	984	964	1,029	913	891
Classifications	1/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66	4/30/66	5/31/66	6/30/66

Memorandum for

RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105 and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

Approximate the second of the second		- ALI	JALLED DIV	1010110			
Classif	1/31/66	2/28/66	3/31/66	4/30/66	5/31/66	6/30/66	
65	31	32	27	35	30	32	
100	686	665	661	635	747	682	
105	711	614	665	697	796	641	
Totals	1,4287.47)	1,311(6.8%)	1,3537.0%)	1,367(6.7	%)1,573(7.7	%) 1 ,3 55	(6.8%)
. 157	761(15.9%)	655(13.6%)	712(14.6%)	589(11.5	%) 572(11.	6%) 583 ((11.9%)
•							

Status of Security Work by Individual Office

The offices with delinquency in either category are listed alphabetically and these statistics reveal their progress during June, 1966, in the 157 classifications and in composites for the 65, 100, and 105 classifications. The delinquencies shown are based on pending active matters.

Letters are being directed to offices indicated by asterisks on the following schedule, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

CONTINUED - OVER

- 3 -

& Office	Active Mat 157 Clas fication 5/31/66 6/	ssi- on	157	nquency Classi- ation /66 6/30	65.	ve Mat 100 ar ssifica 1/66 6/	nd 105 ations	65, 100 Classif 5/31/66	icatio 6/30/	05 ns 66
Albany Atlanta Baltimore Bi Ingham Boston Buffalo Butte Charlotte Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Columbia Dallas Denver Houston Indianapo Jaksonvi Kansas Ci Knoxville Little Ro Los Angel Memphis	2 10 5 480 170 68 33 298 51 6 38 11s 15 934 11e 64 ty 10 101 ck 35	4 51 68 123 3 17 3 454 227 44 44 326 41 7 44 1014 53 10 97 41 113 38		0.0% 3.4% 7.0% 8.8% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 10.8% 2.9% 2.9% 3.0% 2.6% 0.0% 10.5% 4.7% 0.0% 3.0% 2.9% 1.0% 20.6%	0.0% 21.6%* 11.8%* 4.9% 0.0% 5.9% 0.0% 8.6% 7.0% 11.4%* 13.6%* 12.3%* 0.0% 4.5% 0.0% 5.7% 0.0% 3.1% 7.3% 1.2% 1.8%	424 37	197 62 529 47 406 379 35 58 1197 270 420 39 81 126 31 76 21 147 4	10 3 3 3 5 8 3 6 3	7.5% 7.5% 7.5% 2.3% 6.7% 0.5% 2.2% 7.1% 6.0% 7.1% 2.9% 7.1% 2.9% 7.0% 7.0% 7.0% 7.0% 7.0% 7.0% 7.0% 7.0	4.6% 16.1%* 8.3% 10.6%* 6.2% 2.1% 2.9% 10.3%* 6.3% 7.0% 6.2% 28.2%* 1.2% 2.4% 1.2% 4.1% 0.0% 2.9% 6.4% 0.0% 9.8% 2.2%

•	Office	157 fi	Classi- cation 66 6/30/66	Delinque 157 Clas fication 5/31/66	si- n	65, 10 Classi	Matters 0 and 105 fications 6 6/30/66	Delinque 65, 100 au Classifica 5/31/66 6	nd 105 ations
٠,	Miami	134	132	3.7%	0.0%	448	391	14.1%	5.6%
	Milwaukee	5	5	0.0%	0.0%	232	232	6.0%	5.6%
	Minneapolis	5	. 7	0.0%	0.0%	328	341	4.3%	6.2%
	Mobile	426	3 65	14.8%	3.3%	19	18	10.5%	0.0%
	Newark	27	26	0.0%	0.0%	767	7 59	7.6%	7.0%
	Ņew Haven	4	7	0.0%	0.0%	513	469	11.5%	9.8%
	New Orleans	492	501	29.5%	19.8%*		101	4.0%	7.0%
	New York	24	17	29.2%	5.8%	4289	4303	9.5%	8.7%
	Norfolk .	104	96	4.8%	16.7%*		53	4.3%	1.9%
	Oklahoma City	16	14	6.3%	0.0%	73	66	1.4%	3.0%
	Omaha	2	6	0.0%	0.0%	55	53	7.3%	5.7%
	Philadelphia 1	128	47	5.5%	14.9%*	753	719	9.3%	10.3%*
	Phoenix	3	0	0.0%	0.0%	87	98	5.7%	5.1%
	Pittsburgh	13	11	0.0%	0.0%	239	242	5.9%	8.3%
	Portland	2	2	0.0%	0.0%	103	139	6.8%	4.3%
	Richmond	204	189	2.9%	6.9%	106	97	1.9%	5.2%
	St. Louis	192	201	27.1%	50.2%	188	173	26.6%	16.8%*
	Salt Lake City	4	2	0.0%	0.0%	78	67	0.0%	9.0%
	San Antonio	18	18	0.0%	1.7%	102	93	5.9%	19.4%*
	an Diego	14	14	0.0%	0.0%	120	103	10.8%	5.8%
	San Francisco	41	40	2.4%	0.0%	1 580	1 544	9.9%	7.4%
7	San Juan	0	1	0.0%	0.0%	515	579	3.9%	7.1%
ł	Savannah	29	29	6.9%	0.0%	16	10	6.3%	10.0%*
	Seattle	7.3	30 12	0.0%	0.0%	372	361	3.8%	1.1%
	Springfield	14	11	0,0%	0.0%	101	79	, 3.0%	2.5%
	Tampa	168	162	3.0%	3.1%	109	131	3.7%	3.8%
	Washington Fiel	.d 55	57	5.5%	0.0%	2025	1903	5.0%	3.5%
							-		

. 5 -

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE July 15, 1966

FROM :

 τo

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX - G - 11

During the past week 12 cards were added to the Security Index and 14 cards were cancelled, a net decrease of 2 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,930.

ACTION:

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT *[emorandum]*

DATE: 7/20/66

FROM

TO

SUIJECT:

SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

General

There are 9,930 persons represented in the Security Index as of July 15, 1966. Of the total, 6,883 or 69.3 per cent are communists.

A detailed table, copy enclosed, shows as of July 15, 1966, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, citizenship and "special lists." A key to abbreviations is enclosed.

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

AL DC KF NA	Alien Detcom Key Figure Naturalized
NB TF UN AEP	Native Born Top Functionary Unknown Atomic Energy Program Employees
ASC COM CUB CZE	American Socialist Clubs Communist Party, USA Cuban Czechoslovakian
ESP FGE GCV ISL	Espionage Subjects Foreign Government Employees United States Government Employees Independent Socialist League
JFG MIS MMI NOI	Johnson Forest Group Miscellaneous Moslem Mosque, Inc. Nation of Islam
PLP POL PPA PRN	Progressive Labor Party Polish Proletarian Party of America Puerto Rican Nationalist
RUS SWP UNE YUG	Russian Socialist Workers' Party United Nations Employees Yugoslavian

3604 (ENCL.)

170							
82							
m3		CASCURITY INDEX CARS	STATISTICS - BY FIELD CF	FILE	•	•	
1	·	SECURITY INDEX CARE BASED ON VOUCHER-STATISTICAL	SECTION RECERDS AS OF JE	LY 15, 1966			
	121	NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR CHGANIZATION AFFILIATION	(6)+	7F X	CITICENSHIP STAILS	171 MACE	SPECIAL SECTION
	IOTAL	COP ISL JFG NOT PMT HIS PMR PPA RUS ASC SAP CUB YUG CEE POL PLP	DC AT TF	PALE FEMALE	NB NA AL UN	BELLE REGRO CHIN GINER	ALP FILE CON UNE TU
FIELD CAPICE	*d //	1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1	10 1	45 5 22 1	27 1	
CECACC ILANIA CCTIECA	• 6	51 26 2 1 2 4 1 1	25 h · 1	14 3 50 31	73 16 1	5 3 141 25	
\$40\$\(\) \$10 \(\) \$10\$ \(\) \$10\$	- 70 <i>f</i> 100 30	12v 1 17 2 1 2 5 39 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	97 12 26 4 6 1	140 of .66 J2 .77 9 11 J	169 55 \$ 87 10 1 35 \$ 16	68 32 35 7 ?	
144.	730 51	3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	162 21 6 18 32 2 2	465 273 41 14 171 60	595 122 19 50 1 163 24 1 1	543 143 24 21 141 48 6 12 12	100 mg
LLP-18		1 1	10 1	36 16	24 84 5 2 1 554 57 6	4H 4 2	
CALLET A CALL CALL CALL CALL CALL CALL CALL C	52 363 3	11 2 2 15 7 5 37 1 (16 15 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1	204 115 2 1 12 4 17 5	5 16 20 2	10 13 15 45 24	
TATEN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P	, °	16	2	6 2	38 .11 2 1 16	6 2 10 4	
Action Chris	14	, ,	1	* 3	11 1	4 6 2 1,264 115 1 11	
ATTEN WEEK	1.00	1,102 10 26 10 1 H (20 16 1	0 203 \$5	743 666	1,001 364 44 7 7 75 56 15	1 6	
trant & Constant	70 711 418	1 C 7 1 3 1 25 7 1 1 5 1 7 7 1 1 5 1 7 7 7 1 1 5 1 7 7 7 1 7 7 7 7	1 17 1 1 28 10 1 56 12 1	71 46 114 d4 229 d5	vd 10 3 199 19 238 54 21 1	216 2 252 EG 2	1 2
erte ast	514	7ue 1 61 6 7 7 1 14 6 7 7 1 14 6 7 7 1 14 6 7 1	1 10 4	64 3/ 15 1	164 . 20 2 16 1,219 691 107 9	ve 29 1 5 11 2,161 112 25 2	
Ca GASTA'S	4.420	1,972 2 16 50 26 NO 122 32 1 190 104 2 10	5 1	1,6nd 1,65t 2 4 2	21	6 21	
FARE FARE FARENCE FOR	37		11 A7 7	124 41h 20 7 20 16 20 20	17 5 3	246 56 19 7 1 35 0 8 7H 4	
11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		The second secon	1 17 5	16 5 45 14		16 13 30 24	
en applicable of the second of	4 1		13	15 4 15 8 50 17	1 17 1	52 18	
AN EXENSES	1,101	The same of the sa	54 205 11 2 90 11	2 (50 A45 452 59	501 4 5 1	956 98 34 11	
47 0044 - NINAY - 1844 - 1844 - 1844	140	251	1 65 11	2 164 155		31 15	
Lampa Lampa	133	vi 15 2 7 2 2 12	1 25 11	# 13		18 15	
TOTAL		6,685 6 49 440 27 215 42C 20 121 16 885 237 5 2	215 1,684 474 d DEO EN DC COLUMN.	-	. 1,851 1,148 104 25	#,2v0 1,539 67 <i>5</i> 6	

. FILES LU NOT ACO TO COLUPN 2. BUT ARE INCLUDED IN SECTIONS 5. 9. 5. 6 AND F. COLUPNS RF AND TP ARE INCLUDED IN DC COLUMN.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: July 22, 1966

FROM :

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 21 cards were added to the Security Index and 18 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 3 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,933.

ACTION:

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE DATE: July 20, 1966 TO FROM SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX We forward to the field quarterly an alphabetical list of each office's Security Index in order that the Security Index cards maintained in the field may be checked and reconciled with Bureau records. There is attached a proposed letter to all field offices containing appropriate instructions regarding the handling of the list. RECOMMENDATION: There is attached for approval the yellow file copy and paper master of a letter to all offices. After approval, the attached should be returned to Supervisor J. Harold Glascock, Room 806 Federal Triangle Building, for preparation and distribution to the field. Enclosures - 2 100-358086

ROUTE IN INVELOPE 3607

DATE July 20

Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

SECURITY LIDEX LIST - 4 ~



July 22, 1966

There is attached hereto a current list of names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. Those individuals coming within four designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information indicating the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number, and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost

security.

Enclosure

100-358086

NOTE:

Letter classified as it pertains to Security Index, part of Emergency Detention Program of Department. Espionage Section of Special Section not included. Four designated croups are:

U. S. Government Employees

Foreign Government Employees

United Nations Employees

5 Jul 20 195

Cubans

O JEB-

.r.🗀 🍰

W

. ال_{ال}مام

DATE: July 20, 1966


FROM

TO

- SAC, Quantico

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INDEX LISTS



Enclosed herewith is one copy each of the following lists for transmittal to the SAC, Quantico, for storage with other vital records maintained by him:

- 1) Alphabetical list of Security Index (SI) subjects.
- 2) Geographical list of SI subjects.
- Special Section of the SI.
- 4) List of key figures and top functionaries.
- 5) *List of SI subjects out of country.
- 6) List of subjects whose citizenship status is unknown.
- 7) List of aliens.
- 8) List of SI subjects of Chinese descent.
- 9) List of SI subjects sympathetic to Communist China.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba and China.
- List of SI subjects who are defectors 12)
- 13) List of Progressive Labor Party members. 27 133
- 14) List of SI subjects who are Chinese defectors.
- 15) List of SI subjects who are Cuban defectors.

16) List of missing SI subjects.

Enclosure D. I. AUG 2 100-358086

Memorandum for RE: SECURITY INDEX LISTS 100-358086

The SAC, Quantico, should destroy by burning the lists furnished 6/20/66. When this action has been taken, he should so note on this memorandum and return it to the Subversive Control Section.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum and the attached lists will be forwarded to Quantico for the above action.

St. Pr

above handled by Quantice 7-22-66 his

58

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: July 29, 1966

TO

FROM

SUBJECT SECURITY INDEX

The following is a report of the status of the Security Index since the last count was furnished 7/1/66.

Week of:	10 /4.	Cards Added	Cards Cancelled	Net Change
July 4 - July 11 - July 18 - July 25 -	15 22	14 12 21 <u>9</u> 56	12 14 18 <u>25</u> 69	+ 2 - 2 + 3 -16 -13

During the above-mentioned period of time, there was a net decrease of 13 cards in the Security Index.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period, 62 cards were added to the Security Index and 73 cards were cancelled, resulting in a net decrease of 11 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,917.

ACTION:

EAC. Now York

7/19/66

Director, FBI

13 - CII

Becarity Index card cancelled

Ecurlet 5/26/66.

vas reviewed and determination made he be deleted from Security Index. Security Index cards maintained at Eureau have been canceled. Cancel cards maintained on subject by your office.

Genera

NOTE:

Subject was formerly head of Fair Play for Cuba Committee. Eased on pro-Cuban activity, he was placed on Eccurity Index. He has an unsavery reputation, and is considered a highly unreliable character. He previously offered to serve as Bureau informant solely for money involved, and when rejected offered his services to CIA for whom he is presently operational. He left U.S. prior to 1962 and has lived in Africa and Europe. He currently resides in England. Dureau has received various items from CIA which indicates subject currently providing data on regular basis. Department has advised he should be removed from Security Index.

DUPLICATE VILLON

170

67 AUG 151966

[

INT CHATTER TO THE

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum DOLLED IN ENIVE

DAT

DATE August 5, 1966

FROM

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX -

During the past week 12 cards were added to the Security Index and 3 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 9 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,926.

ACTION:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE United States G. MEMORANL JM To: Federal Bureau of Investigation Assistant Attorney General From: Internal Security Division Subject: INDEX REVIEW FBI No. Reports of investigation have been reviewed as requested by you on July 20, 1966 It has been determined that indexing of this case for future review should be continued discontinued Commentary: Not recommend W-O. INS so advised. 170 AUG 11 1966 cc: FBI

SAC, New York

8/5/68

Director, FBI

Socurity care esselled

IS - CII

by letter 7/20/66 Bureau brought this case to the attention of the Internal Security Division of the Department and advised that subject's name had been added to the Security Index. Eureau requested to be advised whether Department would arrange for a warrant to be prepositioned in this matter.

By letter 8/1/66 the Internal Security Division has advised that indexing in this case should be discontinued. Department also advised warrant will not be prepositioned.

Accordingly. Security Indox card on subject has been destroyed at Eurona and card in your possession should also be destroyed. This matter should be closed in your office.

DUPLICATE YELLTS!

NOTE: Subject, born 1/11/42 in China, was educated in China and entered the U.S. in 1963. She has admitted being a member of Young Pioneers and Young Communist League in China. Investigation by U.S. Consulate, Hong Kong, disclosed she was considered a progressive in China and had been recommended as a candidate for the Presidium in the district in which she was residing. Subject was interviewed by Bureau SAs in May, 1965, and stated under rules in China she was enrolled in Young Pioneers and Young Communist League. She said she was never a candidate for office in these organizations and she also claimed she was allowed to leave China only after British Consulate intervened in her behalf. Investigation in this country disclosed no derogatory information.

61 AUG 151966

CHICIAN FILED IN

UNITED STATES GOVLANMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

το

DATE: August 12, 1966

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX 5

During the past week 22 cards were added to the Security Index and 22 cards were cancelled. Therefore there is no change in the Security Index status.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,926.

ACTION:

UNITED STATES GA ERNMENTS Memorandum

το

DATE: August 10, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT:

DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending active cases and delinquency in espionage, internal security, security matter, foreign intelligence and racial matters cases in classifications 65, 100, 105 and 157. Status of these cases as of July 31, 1966, is set forth below.

In July, 1966, there was a decrease in pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100, and 105 from 19,950 to 19,505. Delinquent matters decreased from 1,355 to 1,316. The percentage of delinquent matters decreased from 6.8 per cent to 6.7 per cent.

Puring the same month, pending active matters in the 157 classification decreased from 4,896 to 4,762 while delinquent matters decreased from 583 to 477. The field-wide delinquency in this classification decreased from 11.9 per cent to 10.0 per cent.

Letters are being sent to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages 4 and 5 herein, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

ACTION:

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding, delinquency in the 65, 100, 105 and 157 classifications and you will be advised of the results of the review of the administrative report for August. 1966.

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

DETAILS:

The July, 1966, administrative reports for all offices indicate that the total pending active matters in classification 65, 100, and 105 decreased from 19,950 to 19,505. Delinquent items decreased from 1,355 to 1,316. The percentage of delinquent items decreased from 6.8 per cent to 6.7 per cent. These same reports disclose that in the 157 classification total pending active matters decreased from 4,896 to 4,762; delinquency decreased from 583 to 477; and the percentage of delinquency decreased from 11.9 per cent to 10.0 per cent.

The following statistics show the status of pending active matters in delinquency for all field divisions for the last six months.

TOTAL PENDING ACTIVE MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105, and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

157	4,832	4,864	5,101	4,925	4,896	4,762
						. 760
Totals	19,234	19,242	20,455	20,536	19,950	19,505
105	9,617	9,888	10,416	10,612	10,338	9,950
100	8,633	8,390	9,010	9,011	8,721	8,755
65	984	964	1,029	913	891	800
Classifications	2/28/66	3/31/66	4/30/66	5/31/66	6/30/66	7/31/66

nandum for perindum for perindu

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105, and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

f i	. The Jel .					
:: :::	2/28/66	3/31/66	4/30/66	5/31/66	6/30/66	7/31/66
	- 32	27	35	30	32	29
; ((665	661	635	747	682	589
	614	665	697	796	641	698
•	1,311(6.8%)	1,353(7,0%)	1,367(6.7%)	1,573(7.7%)	1,355(6,8%	3) 1,316(6.7%)
	655(13.6%)	712(14.6%)	589(11.5%)	572(11.6%)	583(11.9%)	477(10.0%)
	•					
				•		

of Security Work by Individual Office

The offices with delinquency in either category are listed rically and these statistics reveal their progress during 366, in the 157 classifications and in composites for the 65, and 105 classifications. The delinquencies shown are based on active matters.

Letters are being directed to offices indicated by asterisks on lowing schedule, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out agaity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a status.

- 3 -

8					. *					
ω	Active Matters 157 Classi-			Delinquency 157 Classi-		Active Matters 65, 100, and 105		Delinquency		
								65, 100, and 105		
	fice	ation		fication	on	Classif	ications	Classifi	cations	
Office	6/30/66 7/31/66			6/30/66 7/31/66		6/30/66 7/31/66		6/30/66 7/31/66		
			_							
Albany	4	6		0.0%	0.0%	197	179	4.6%	5.3%	
Atlanta	51	62		21.6%	4.8%	52	91	16.1%	0.0%	
Baltimore	68	58		11.8%	8.6%	529	378	8.3%	7.9%	
Birmingham	123	-128		4.9%	14.8%*	47	52	10.6%	3.8%	
B ston •	3	9		0.0%	0.0%	406	3 84	. 6.2%	5.7%	
Buffalo	17	19	,	5.9%	5.3%	37.9	3 59	2.1%	4.5%	
Charlotte	454	445	4 1	8.6%	7.9%	58 🐣	51	10.3%	0.0%	
Chicago	227	218	51:	7.0%	4.1%	1197	1127	6.3%	6.6%	
· Cincinnati	44	31		11.4%	0.0%	270	398	7.0%	5.0%	
Cleveland	444	48		13.6%	2.1%	420	3 98	6.2%	7.7%	
Columbia	326	338	į	21.8%	17.1%*	39	35	28.2%	21.9%*	
Dallas	41	39	**	12.3%	2.6%	81	87	1.2%	0.0%	
Denver	- 7 · '	3	į T ,	0.0%	0.0%	126	· 103	2.4%	1.9%	
. Honolulu	0	0	. 1	0.0%	0.0%	50	56	0.0%	5.4%	
Houston	.44	51		4.5%	0.0%	93	87	1.2%	13.8%*	
Indianap oli		17		0.0%	5.9%	270	2 56	4.1%	8.6%	
Jackson	1014	873		9.6%	12.4%*	48	39	0.0%	0.0%	
ksonvill ksonvill	.e 53	88	: ()	5.7%	3.4%	35	39	2.9%	0.0%	
Kansas City	10	3		0.0%	0.0%	78	64	6.4%	7.8%	
Knoxville	97	□ 103	r	3.1%	3.9%	23	27	0.0%	0.0%	
Los Angeles	113	119		1.2%	7.6%	1473	1442	9.8%	12.3%*	
Louisville	10 .	13		0.0%	0.0%	29 .	29	0.0%	6.9%	
Memphis	38	36		1.8%	16.7%*	45	62	.2.2%	4.8%	

6	
0	
3	

ω							N = 1 d == ==		٠
	Active M	latters	Delinquency			Active Matters		Delinquency 65, 100, and 105	
	157 Classi- fication		157 Classi- fication			65, 100, and 105 Classifications		cations	
								7/31/66	
Office 6/30/66 7/31/66		6/30/66 7/31/66		6/30/66	6/30/66 7/31/66		7731700		
Miami	132	100	0.0%	4.0%	391	377	5.6%	6.1%	
Milwaukee	5	5	0.0%.	0.0%	232	224	5.6%	3.6%	
Minneap olis	7	8.	0.0%	0.0%	341	370	6.2%	2.4%	
Mob/ > highiam	· ·	378 0	3.3%	5.8%	18	15	0.0%	0.0%	
Newark	26	27	0.0%.0%	7.4%	7 59	709	7.0%	6.1%	
New Haven	7	6,	0.0% 97	0.0%	469	455	9.8%	9.2%	
New Orleans	501	480	19.8%	23.5%*	101	115	7.0%	17.4%*	
New York	17	20	5.8%	5.0%	4303	4254	8.7%	9.7%	_
Norfolk	96	79	16.7%	2.5%	53	45	1.9%	0.0%	
Oklahoma City		13	0.0%	0.0%	66	55	3.0%	1.8%	
Omaha	6	6	0.0%	0.0%	53	67	5.7%	1.5%	
Philadelphia	47	53	14.9%	5.6%	719	722	10.3%	4.6%	
•	0	4	0.0%	0.0%	98	71	5.1%	1.4%	
Phoenix	11	19	0.0%	10.5%*	242	270	8.3%	9.6%	
Pittsburgh	2	2	0.0%	0.0%	139	128	4.3%	4.7%	
Portland	189	199	6.9%	6.0%	97	103	5.2%	7.8%	
Richmond	,	140	50.2%	19.3%*	173	194	16.8%	9.8%	•
St. Touis	201	2	0.0%	0.0%	67	62	9.0%	6.5%	
Sal Lake Cit		20	1.7%	0.0%	93	96	19.4%	6.3%	
San Antonio		15	0.0%	6.7%	103	106	5.8%	7.5%	
San Diego	14	46	0.0%	2.2%	1544	1494	7.4%	6.4%	
San Francisco			0.0%	0.0%	579	571	7.1%	8.9%	
San Juan	1 *	10	0.0%0%	3.0%	10	11	10.0%	9.0% `	
Savannah	29	33		0.0%	361	385	1:1%	1.3%	
Seattle	12	14	0.0%	0.0%	79	81	2.5%	1.2%	
Springfield	11	10	0.0%	2.2%	131	• 132	3.8%	2.3%	
Tampa	162	181	3.1%				3.5%	3.0%	
Washington	57 ,	69	0.0%	4.3%	1903	1791	3.36	J. U M	
Field	1				•		-		
1					• .				

. . 5 -

UNITED STATES COVERNMENT.

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

το

DATE: August 19, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 14 cards were added to the Security Index and 17 cards were canceled, a net decrease of 3 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,923.

ACTION:

Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

mountly ladez card cascalled

INTERNAL SECURITY - CHIMA

Reference is made to report of Special Agent at New York dated July 13, 1966, concerning captioned subject.

Subject, a Chinese who recently confessed alienage, has moved to the Portuguese colony of Macao with his wife and daughter. He reportedly does not intend to return to the United States; therefore, he is being deleted from the Security Index.

Immigration and Naturalization Service has indicated that subject must request visa prior to reentering the United States, and Immigration and Maturalization Service has placed appropriate stops in the event subject attempts to reenter the United States.

To warrants have been issued concerning subject in connection with the Department's Emergency Detention Plans.

NOTE FOR SAC, NEW YORK: Subject has been deleted from the Security Index and you should cancel his Security Index cards.

(Security Index)

MOTE: This letter is classified inasmuch as it refers to the SI and the Emergency Detention Program of the Department of Justice.

NOT RECORDED 199 AUG 22 1966 RICHNAL FILED IN

BAY 1942 EDITION
SAA GEH, REG. NO. 27
LINITED STATES GOVERIAMENT

Memorandum

O Americana 2002

DATE: 8/18/66

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There are 9,916 persons represented in the Security Index as of August 15, 1966. Of the total, 6,852 or 69.1 per cent are communists.

A detailed table, copy enclosed, shows as of August 15, 1966, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, citizenship and "special lists." A key to abbreviations is enclosed.

3614 (ENCL.)

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

Alien Detcom DC Key Figure KF ŇA Naturalized Native Born NB Top Functionary TF Unknown UN Atomic Energy Program Employees AEP American Socialist Clubs ASC Communist Party, USA COM Cuban CUB Czechoslovakian CZE Espionage Subjects **ESP** Foreign Government Employees FGE United States Government Employees **GOV** Independent Socialist League ISL Johnson Forest Group **JFG** Miscellaneous MIS Moslem Mosque, Inc. **M**MI Nation of Islam NOI Progressive Labor Party PLPPolish POL Proletarian Party of America PPA Puerto Rican Nationalist \mathbf{P} RN Russian RUS Socialist Workers' Party

United Nations Employees

Yugoslavian

SWP

UNE

YUG

3614 (ENCL.)

SECURITY INDEX CARE STATISTICS - BY FIELL CFFICE GASED ON YOUCPER-STATISTICAL SECTION RECORDS AS OF ALGUST 15, 1966 111 1 10 SPECIAL SECTICA MATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR CAGAMIZATION APPILIATION 161 CITIZENSHIP STATUS (7) RACE CON ESE JEG NOT PHE MES PAR PPR MUS ASC SUP CUB YUS CEE POL PEP PALE FEMALE. DC AF THUC CHICE TOTAL. 26 22 15 8 15 2 58 32 46 22 1 7 ER F. COURROUS CORRE FRIS FIFQRE 16 1 1 25 1 67 33 9 5 142 67 25 10 *155F#P 6 209 100 34 12 ICA FALD IE -LGTTE 271 575 121 19 1 10 1 161 22 1 1 6 23 465 41 120 6 20 LAUC [13987] | 161986 | 17618 | 185 594 15 119 79A 50 105 4 \$5 7 1 1 3 11 16 117 1 4 16 5 2 1 335 58 8 3 16 20 2 VE# #611 #450 (6606 (5108) 56 " 601 3 16 22 33 223 5 14 10 1 , 1 47 22 2 6 2 15 2 IANSPELTS PSCA PSCAVILLE 1335 ELTT AVILLE 58 11 2 7 1 15 6 2 10 5 61 2 8 15 VEGAS

TILL PCCR

ANGLES

TSVILLE

PPHTS 7 5 7 5 6 6 3 2 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 8 2 1,277 115 1 11 1 5 4 B 12 2 1,402 9 1 · · · · · · 137 14 200 45 API LBBUREE NEAPCLIS LILL 17 1 27 10 57 15 55 24 64 40 132 64 3 1 24 2 24 2 57 54 21 1 11 1 2 30 15 14 57 12 225 85 252 229 504 204 31 1 1,050 2 2 105 20 2 1-015 646 102 9 22 1 m HAVEN o Crifans o Vira fold iamoma Cffv 21 2 1 4 1 2 1C 50 19 00 12C 5 1 16 6 53 1 107 106 100 0 78 5 2,354 1 127 16 2,718 75 6 12 1 1 3 52 7 7 5 3 5 1 2 2 1 36 7 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 47 -7 CPPLAE INT ECUT LT ESHE CITY A SHECKE A CIECC 25 65 21 10 67 13 1 N B-ANCISEG N JUSA NJANAH ATTLE RINGFIELS 654 44C 456 59 12 475 85t 1 56 203 11 1.094 515 1 30 7 160 134 261 17 8 1 300 230 . • 54 1 7 65 60 2/8 16 5 1 30 98 PPA SHINGTON 28 4:307 3:609 7:446 1:738 507 25 8:283 1:534 46 33 v. 916 0.852 6 48 640 21 210 622 28 122 14 892 241 3 223 1,670 413 TETAL . ITEPS LC NOT ADD TO COLUPN 2, BUT ARE INCLICED IN SECTIONS 3, h. S. 6 AND T. COLLMNS RF AND TF ARE INCLUDED IN DC COLUMN.

Cir

ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

Assistant Attorney General

August 22, 1966

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. Those individuals coming within four designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information indicating the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number, and the Bureau field

office covering the residence of the individual. It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Enclosure.

100-358086

NOTE:

Letter classified as it pertains to Security Index, part of Emergency Detention Program of Department. Espionage Section of Special Section not included. Four designated groups are:

> U. S. Government Employees Foreign Government Employees United Nations Employees

9. 117 34 11 61 90 v.

19 AUG 23 1966

-INTED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

то

DATE: August 19, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX LISTS

1 - SAC, Quantico 1 - Mr. Glascock

Enclosed herewith is one copy each of the following lists for transmittal to the SAC, Quantico, for storage with other vital records maintained by him:

- 1) Alphabetical list of Security Index (SI) subjects.
- 2) Geographical list of SI subjects.
- 3) Special Section of the SI.
- 4) List of key figures and top functionaries.
- 5) List of SI subjects out of country.
- 6) List of subjects whose citizenship status is unknown.
- 7) List of aliens.
- 8) List of SI subjects of Chinese descent.
- 9) List of SI subjects sympathetic to Communist China.
- 10) List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba.
- 11) List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba and China.
- 12) List of SI subjects who are defectors.
- 13) List of Progressive Labor Party members. 6 AUG 24 1966
- 14) List of SI subjects who are Chinese defectors

Enclosure

100-358086

HC(csb., (3) 0 1000

Handled 8, 22-66 at Quantico - Post

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to RE: SECURITY INDEX LISTS 100-358086

15) List of SI subjects who are Cuban defectors.

16) List of missing SI subjects.

The SAC, Quantico, should destroy by burning the lists furnished July 20, 1966. When this action has been taken, he should so note on this memorandum and return it to the Subversive Control Section.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum and the attached lists will be forwarded to Quantico for the above action.

2

UNKEURUS

ယ

August 19, 1966

PROPOSED CHANGE OF SECTION 87 D, PAGE 73 (6)(b), PARAGRAPH 2 '

Delete lines seven through twelve.

ADD: Communications regarding subjects who are employed in Key Facilities should bear the words "Key Facility in the character. Communications regarding subjects who are members of the Communist Party. USA. National Committee should bear the words "National Committee" in the character.

See memorandum to communist same date,
captioned "Security Investigations of Individuals, Character
of Key Facility, Defense Facility, and Communist Party, USA,
National Committee Cases, Streamlining Suggestion,"

Rec'y de Loach

NOT RECORDED

7 AUG 3 0 1966

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

ONKECOKDED

August 19, 1966

PROPOSED CHANGE OF FBI HANDBOOK PART III, PAGE 163-164

ADD:

Add "Key Facility" when the subject is employed in a Key Facility. Add "National Committee" when the subject is a member of the Communist Party. USA. National Committee.

NOTE:

See memorandum to same date, -15 captioned 'Security Investigations of Individuals, Characters of Key Facility, Defense Facility, and Communist Party, USA, National Committee cases, Streamlining Suggestion, RMH: fhd.

RMH: fhd (13)

REC'O DELLOACH

भूष हुई। व वर्ग पा रह

37 AUG 30 1966

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

NOT RECORDED

14 AUG 26 1966

RECORDED COPY FIL

IGINAL FILED IN

Aggistant Attorney Caueral

Director, FBI

CUBAN INERCIECT PLANS INTERNAL SECURITY - CUBA

The name of the service of the service of the security Index by Departmental letter dated May 18, 1965.

Tou have been furnished a copy of a memorandum dated August 5, 1968, at New York, Rev York, which sets forth information that Carcia has left the United States and is residing in Mexico. Sinco is a Mexican citizen, his Security Index card is being cancelled.

Tou may desire to cancel the document calling for his apprehension which was previously prepositioned by the Immigration and Naturalization Service.

note for Sac, NEW YORK:

Is subject of your file

his SI card has been cancolled at the Bureau, you should
take shular action with regard to the SI card maintained
en! by your office.

HOTE:

Classified since SI is mentioned and the Department so classifies the SI program.

NOT RECORDED 199 AUG 22 1966

TI PIL

DA'TE:

8/23/6

OPTIONAL PORM NO. 10 MAY 1982 EDITION GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 UNITED STATES

DIRECTOR, FBI TO

SUBJECT:

FROM:

SECURITY INDEX

SAC, DALLAS

Re Bulet to Albany dated 7/22/66.

There is returned herewith a list of security index subjects as of 7/15/66. It has been compared with the security index of the Dallas Office and is correct.

ROUTE IN ENVELOPE 3620

MAY 1962 EDITION

OSA FPMR (41 CFI) 101-11.6

UNITED STATES GCVLRNMENT

Memorandum

то :

DATE: 8/26/66

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 17 cards were added to the Security Index and 10 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 7 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,930.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

3621

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPF

DATE: September 2, 1966

. -

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

The following is a report of the status of the Security Index since the last count was furnished 7/29/66.

Week of:	Cards Added	Cards Cancelled	Net Change
August 1 - 5 August 8 - 12 August 15 - 19	12	3	+ 9
	22	22	0
	14	17	- 3
August 22 - 26 August 29 -	17	. 10	+ 7
September 2	11	<u>34</u>	-23
	76	86	-10

During the above-mentioned period of time, there was a net decrease of 10 cards in the Security Index.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period, 56 cards were added to the Security Index and 69 cards were cancelled, resulting in a net decrease of 13 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,907.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

3621

8/3

(F) SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS - CHARACTER OF CASES -- Communications regarding subjects employed in Key Facilities should include the words "Key Facility" in the character. Communications regarding subjects who are members of the Communist Party, USA, National Committee, should include the words "National Committee" in the character.

The character "Internal Security Act of 1950" need no longer be used to designate subjects who are in possible violation of the Defense Facility Employment Sanction of the Internal Security Act of 1950. The words "Defense Facility" are to be used instead. Special instructions regarding these cases appear in Bureau letter to all offices dated April 26, 1965, entitled "Violations of Defense Facility Employment Sanction, Section 5(a) (1) (D), Internal Security Act of 1950."

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover

Director

Enclosures for (A) and (B)

NOT RECORDEL 102 SEP 2 1966

Livilosure

8-30-66 SAC LETTER 66-54

.

(ENCL.)

8/30/66

(Typed August 19, 1966)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

Letter to All Special Agents in Charge:

RE: SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS
CHARACTER OF CASES

Dear Sir:

Communications regarding subjects employed in Key Facilities should include the words "Key Facility" in the character. Communications regarding subjects who are members of the Communist Party, USA, National Committee, should include the words "National Committee" in the character.

need no longer be used to designate subjects who are in possible violation of the Defense Facility Employment Sanction of the Internal Security Act of 1950. The words "Defense Facility" are to be used instead. Special instructions regarding these cases appear in Bureau letter to all offices dated April 26, 1965, entitled "Violations of Defense Facility Employment Sanction, Section 5(a)(1)(D), Internal Security Act of 1950."

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover Director

NOTE: See memorandum to , same date, captioned "Security Investigations of Individuals, Character of Key Facility, Defense Facility, and Communist Party, USA, National Committee Cases Streamlining Suggestion," Appropriate manual changes have been prepared.

ENCLUSURE

-

Special sidgent in in that (e

CI.

Recommendations and comments of Division Head

not write in this space, for Bureau use ontvi-

This suggestion appears to have merit.

UNRECORDE

8/31/66

August 31, 100-

PERSONAL.

Mi

Federal Bureau of Investigation Portland, Oregon

Dear:

I have received your suggestion that dissemination of a particular type be discontinued on a local basis. You may be interested to know that similar proposals have been considered previously and not adopted. It is desirable for this information to be furnished the proper agency without delay since these individuals are located within the area covered by the local authorities.

Although this idea did not receive favorable action, the interest which prompted you to submit it is indeed appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

(Suggestion 119-67 dated 8/26/66) 3/

NOTE: Suggests discontinuance of dissemination of information to Secret Service locally in all Security Index cases.

(NOTE CONTINUED OVER)

54 SÉP 12 1966

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

.

RIGINAL FILED IN

8/31/66

Note continued: Re: SA

Domestic Intelligence Division advised that regardless of disposition made by Secret Service Tocally of information submitted to it by us, local dissemination should be continued. Dissemination by a field office locally concerns individuals within the area covered by local Secret Service office and they are at least put on notice of the existence of an individual in the particular area who may represent a potential threat to the safety of the President. Discontinuance in certain instances would force our field office to make an evaluation of potential destructiveness of individual concerned which is not our responsibility but the responsibility of Secret Service. There is a time element involved also inasmuch as documents prepared in field for dissemination to Secret Service would be received by local Secret Service office prior to time that similar dissemination could be made at SOG. Finally, dissemination locally and at headquarters level add little burden to our field offices as it entails on the preparation of one additional copy of communication being disseminated. Above views base memorandum to 11/26/65, captioned "Dissemination to S

3622

WAY 1962 EDITION OF THE HEAL HO. 27

UNITED STATES GOV NMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: September 9, 1966

то

FROM :

SUBJECT SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 8 cards were added to the Security Index and 5 cards were cancelled, a net increase of 3 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,910.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

MAY 1993 FOITION
6% GEN. REC. INC. 27

UNITED STATES G. EKNMENT.

Memorandum

то

DATE: September 13, 1966

FROM

Inlax Canard

SUBJECT: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending active cases and delinquency in espionage, internal security, security matter, foreign intelligence and racial matters cases in classifications 65, 100, 105 and 157. Status of these cases as of August 31, 1966, is set forth below.

In August, 1966, there was an increase in pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100, and 105 from 19,505 to 19,649.

Delinquent matters decreased from 1,316 to 1,024. The percentage of delinquent matters decreased from 6.7 per cent to 5.2 per cent.

During the same month, pending active matters in the 157 classification increased from 4,762 to 5,115 while delinquent matters decreased from 477 to 353. The field-wide delinquency in this classification decreased from 10.0 per cent to 6.9 per cent.

Letters are being sent to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages 4 and 5 herein, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

ACTION:

16 SEP 16 1966

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding delinquency in the 65, 100, 105 and 157 classifications and you will be advised of the results of the review of the administrative report for September, 1966.

5001

Memorandum for

RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

100

3. 1968

DETAILS:

The August, 1966, administrative reports for all offices indicate that the total pending active matters in classification 65, 100, and 105 increased from 19,505 to 19,649. Delinquent items decreased from 6.7 per cent to 5.2 per cent. These same reports disclose that in the 157 classification total pending active matters increased from 4,762° to 5,115; delinquency decreased from 477 to 353; and the percentage of delinquency decreased from 10.0 per cent to 6.9 per cent.

The following statistics show the status of pending active matters in delinquency for all field divisions for the last six months.

TOTAL PENDING ACTIVE MATTERS 12,500 to 19,645,
CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105, and 157 centage of
ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

		A	LL FIELD D	IVISIONS	
Classifi- cations	3/31/66	4/3 0/66	5/31/66	6/30/66	7/31/66 -8/31/66
65	964	1,029	913	891	8 00 7 55
100	8,390	9,010	9,011	8,721	8,755 2518,601
105	9,888	10,416	10,612	10,338	9,950 10,293
Totals	19,242	20,455	20,536	19,950	19,505 19,649
			`		
157	4,864	5,101	4,925	4,896	4,762 5,115
				•	

CONTINUED - OVER

2

Memorandum for Property INVESTIGATIONS

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105, and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

and the second s		ALL F	TELD DIVISION	NS		
Classifi cations		4/30/66	5/31/66	6/30/66	7/31/66	8/31/66
65	27	3 5	30	32	29	27
100	661	635	747	682	589	495
105	665	697	<u>796</u>	641	698	502
Totals	1,353(7.0%)	1,367(67%)	1,573(7.7%)	1,355(6.8%)	1,316(6.7%)1	,024(5.2%)
			•			• •
157	712(14.6%)	589(11.5%)	572(11.6%)	583(11.9%)	477 (10.0%)	353(6.9%)

Status of Security Work by Individual Office

The offices with delinquency in either category are listed alphabetically and these statistics reveal their progress during August, 1966, in the 157 classifications and in composites for the 65, 100, and 105 classifications. The delinquencies shown are based on pending active matters.

Letters are being directed to offices indicated by asterisks on the following schedule, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

3

CONTINUED - OVER



				•				
4					•			
4698	Active N 157 Ci ficat	lassi - tion	Delinque 157 Clas ficati	si- on	Active M 65, 100, Classifi 7/31/66	and 105 cations	Classifi	ency and 105 cations 8/31/66
<u>Office</u>	1/31/66	8/31/66	7/31/66	8/31/00	7731700	0/ 31/ 00	1731700	0731700
Albany Atlanta	6 62 58	7 75 59	0.0% 4.8% 8.6%	0.0% 5.3% 5.1%	179 91 378	180 87 341	5.3% 0.0% 7.9%	3.3% 4.6% 6.7%
Baltimo re Birmingham Boston	128 9	158 • 7	14.8% 0.0%	8.2% 0.0%	52 384	53 432	3.8% 5.7%	5.7% 6.5%
Bu alo • Charlotte Chicago	19 445 218	16 484 268	5.3% 7.9% 4.1%	12.5%@ 6.4% .4%	359 51 1127	379 52 11 55	4.5% 0.0% 6.6%	6.6% 0.0% 4.2%
Cincinnati Cleveland	31 48	41 60	0.0% 2.1%	7.3% 6.7% 15.0%*	398 398 35	402 387 29	5.0% 7.7% 17. 1%	4.0% 9.0% 13.8%*
Columbia Dallas Denver	338 ⁴ 39 3	368 45 5	21.9% 2.6% 0.0%	0.0%	87 1 03	100 134	0.0% 1.9%	1.0% 5.2%
Honolulu Indianapolis	0 17 873	0 130 922	0.0% 5.9% 12.4%	0.0% 1.5% 7.2%	56 2 56 39	77 316 42	5.4% 8.6% 0.0%	2.6% 7.6% 0.0%
Jackson Jacksonvil le Kansas City	88	82 5	3.4% 0.0%	2.4% 0.0%	39 64	50 76	0.0% 7.8%	2.0% 2.6%
Kr ville Los Angeles.	103 119	99 116	3.9% 7.6%	1.0% 5.2%	27 14 42	39 14 51 29	0.0% 12.3% 6.9%	2.6% 6.8% 0.0%
Louisville Memphis	13 36	18 35	0.0% 16.7%	5.6% 2.9%	29 62	54	4.8%	3.7%

@Two of 16 pending active matters delinquent.
No letter written.

CONTINUED - OVER

Active Matters	Delinquency	Active Matters 65, 100, and 105	Delinquency 65, 100, and 105
157 Classi-	157 Classi-	Classifications	Classifications
fication	fication	7/31/66 8/31/66	7/31/66 8/31/66
Office 7/31/66 8/31/66	7/31/66 8/31/66	7731700 0731700	
	·	377 369	6.1% 3.8%
Miami 100 121	4.0% .8%		3.6% 6.7%
Milwaukee 5 9	0.0% 0.0%		2.4% 2.0%
Minneapolis 8 11	0.0% 0.0%	370 358	0.0% 0.0%
Mc :1e 378 346	5.8% 10.1%*	15 38	6.1% 6.7%
Newark 27 34	7.4% 11.8%*	709 700	9.2% 4.1%
New Haven 6 8	0.0% 0.0%	455 467	17.4% 9.7%
New Orleans 480 424	23.5% 16.3%*	115 103	
New York 20 25	5.0% 12.0%*	4254 4178	
Norfolk 79 74	2.5% 13.5%*	45 53	
MOLICIA	0.0% 0.0%	55 55	
OKTAHOMA OLOJ -	0.0% 0.0%	67 65	1.5% 1.5%
Ullaria	5.6% 5.6%	722 691	4.6% 3.0%
Initiadespirate of	10.5% 0.0%	27 0. 2 59	9.6% 6.5%
110000010.	0.0% 0.0%	128 132	4.7% 3.8%
IUI CIAMA	6.0% 6.1%	103 111	7.8% 3.6%
MICIMIONIC /201	19.3% 11.3%*	194 205	9.8% 5.4%
St Louis 140 124	0.0% 50.0%@	62 59	6.5% 3.4%
Salt Lake City2 2	0.0% 0.0%	96 90	6.3% 1.1%
S Antonio 20 14		106 111	7.5% 7.2%
San Diego 15 13		1494 1 567	6.4% 5.0%
San Francisco46 51		571 487	8.9% 6.8%
San Juan 0 0	0.0% 0.0%	11 12	9.0% 8.3%
Savannah 33 4 33	3.0% 3.0%		1.3% 1.5%
Seattle 14 . 14	0.0% 0.0%		1.2% 2.0%
Springfield 10 14	0.0% 0.0%	-	2.3% 2.6%
Tampa 181 208	2.2% 0.0%	132 153	3.0% 2.6%
Washington 69 77	4.3% 2.6%	1791 1787	J. U/6 2. U/6
Masiitiigcon			
Field			

Cone of two pending active matters - 5 - delinquent. No letter written.

September 12, 1966

Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

Cudan Elergency Plans Internal Security - Cuba

Security Index card cancelled

The name of pumber was approved for inclusion on the Security Index by Departmental letter dated January 4, 1965.

You have been furnished a copy of a memorandum dated August 24, 1966, at New York, New York, which sets forth information that (departed Canada en route to Cuba on July 11, 1966.

You may desire to cancel the document calling for his apprehension which was previously prepositioned by the Immigration and Naturalization Service.

NOTE TO SAC, HEW YORK:

Subject's Security Index card has been canceled at the Bureau. Take appropriate action with regard to Security Index card being maintained by your office.

NOT RECORDED

Subject is a Cuban alien. Classified since Security Index Program is mentioned and this program is so classified by Department.

EUPLICATE YELLOTZ

-13-65)

September 15, 1968

Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division

Director, FBI

EMERGENCY DETENTION PROGRAM

The name of the subject appearing below has been approved for inclusion in the Security Index by the Internal Security Division of the Department.

Name:

FBI File Number:

Department Approved:

April 10, 1964

Date of Last Report:

August 29, 1966

Investigation has failed to develop evidence of recent subversive activities or affiliations on the part of the subject.

All pertinent information concerning the reported past subversive activities of the subject has been furnished the Records

Administration Office.

It is requested that the results of the investigation be reviewed to determine whether the subject's name should continue to be included in the Security Index.

NOT RECORDED

NOTE: SAC.

SAC,
Pending receipt of reply from Department, subject's name will be retained in Security Index.

fied "Secret" as pertains to SI and EDP, component parts of which are so classified

TITLE 1

Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division Director, FBI

EMERGENCY DETENTION PROGRAM

The name of the subject appearing below has been approved for inclusion in the Security Index by the Internal Security Division of the Department.

Name:

FBI File Number:

Department Approved:

December 2, 1964

Date of Last Report:

August 25, 1966

Investigation has failed to develop evidence of recent subversive activities or affiliations on the part of the subject. All pertinent information concerning the reported past subversive activities of the subject has been furnished the Records Administration Office.

It is requested that the results of the investigation be reviewed to determine whether the subject's name should continue to be included in the Security Index.

NOT RECORDED 126/SEP 19 1900

NOTE: SAC. NOW YORK

Pending receipt of reply from Department, subject's name will be retained in Security Index.

سے ہولا

"Secret" as pertains to SI and EDP, component parts of which are so classified

VELLOM

V. PILED IN

September 19, 1968

Assistant Attorney General

Director. FEI

Curan emergency plans Internal security - cura

Reference is made to reports previously furnished the Eccords Administration Office concerning

FBI File Number the most recent of which was the report of Special Agent dated August 5, 1986, at Newark, New Jersey.

is a Cuban alien who has been added to the Security Index.

You may desire to arrange for the issuance of the necessary documents calling for the apprehension of by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) in the event of in emergency involving the United States and Cuba. Reports relating to have been furnished the appropriate INS District Director. It is requested this Bureau be advised of your decision concerning the issuance of the above-mentioned documents.

NO SECONDED

SEE NOTE TO SAC, NEWARK PAGE :

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

51 deman

UNRECORDED

9/19/66

Assistant Attorney General

NOTE FOR SAC, NEWARK:

Promptly submit succinct LIM under case caption summarizing pertinent data for dissemination to Secret Service.

NOTE: Classified as pertains to Security Index and Emergency Detention Program, components of which are so classified by Department.

Americas (CDIA), a pro-Castro organization and has been active in CDIA until June, 1986. The has spoken favorably concerning Castro and the Soviet Union. He was interviewed 7/20/66 but denied CDIA nembership and stated he had no opinion concerning Castro or communism.

way 1962 1991710H

Sha din. REO. HO. 27

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT (

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

T0

DATE: September 16, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 19 cards were added to the Security Index and 12 cards were canceled, a net increase of 7 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,917.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information

3626

UNITED STATES C ERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOP

TO

70

DATE: September 23, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - Dem.

During the past week 18 cards were added to the Security Index and 10 cards were canceled, a net increase of 8 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,925.

ACTION:

None. This is for your information.

ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

Assistant Attorney General

September 23, 1966

Director, FBI

CURITY INDEX/LIST

There is attached hereto a current list of names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. Those individuals coming within four designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information indicating the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number, and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Enclosure •

100-358086

NOTE:

Letter classified as it pertains to Security Index, part of Emergency Detention Program of Department. Espionage Section of Special Section not included. Four designated groups are:

U. S. Government Employees
Foreign Government Employees 6 SEP 26 1966
United Nations Employees

Cubans

) - 100 m

,

.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Memorus lum DATE: 9/21/66 There are 9,914 persons represented in the Security Index as of September 15, 1966. Of the total, 6,828 or 68.9 per cent are communists A detailed table, copy enclosed, shows as of September 15, 1966, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, citizenship and "special lists." A key to abbreviations is enclosed.

3628 (ENCL.)

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

AL DG KF NA	Alien Detcom Key Figure Naturalized
TAN	Haturanzeu
NB TF UN AEP	Native Born Top Functionary Unknown Atomic Energy Program Employees
ASC COM CUB CZE	American Socialist Clubs Communist Party, USA Cuban Czechoslovakian
ESP FGE GOV ISL	Espionage Subjects Foreign Government Employees United States Government Employees Independent Socialist League
JFG MIS MMI NOI	Johnson Forest Group Miscellaneous Moslem Mosque, Inc. Nation of Islam
PLP POL PPA PRN	Progressive Labor Party Polish Proletarian Party of America Puerto Rican Nationalist
RUS SWP UNE YUG	Russian Socialist Workers' Party United Nations Employees Yugoslavian



11		SFCUMITY INDE	11	PIFMAER 15: 1966	141	(7) GACE	4 SPECIAL SECTION .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Company Comp		131 AFFILIATION	"	(5) 5f #	CITIZENSHIP STATUS	CHIN GTHER	AEP TO FEE GOV UME	ישני כעה ין
The content of the	123	NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR ORGANIZATION ATTENDED TO CHE PO	1	1	48	45 ?		•
1	FOTAL	THE SECOND SECON	• 1	1 1	21 1	7 10	1 2	1
1	4!		1 201	58 79	7	101 76		ì
1		3 1 37 3	10 25	147 66	10 q 1 37 Z	34		
1	279	1 170 1 18 2 70 2	102	100 270	596 170 19	23 26	1	. }
1	. 3 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 32 1	171 64	167 71	•	il M.	
1	111 11 199	19 14 10 1 1	10.	37 16	15 3 2	258 140		
1	71	12 2 1 7 2 9 42 1	10	1 1	16	, 10 9		
1	477	221 25 10 1 1	•	49, 21	55, 11	2 2	•	
1		11 1 1	2	1 6	16	1	-	13
110 18 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	10/113		li	1	' 1 11 14 41	119 2	1 . 32 . 3	
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		7 3 9 11 9 136 14	10 179		;			. 21
10	1.41	4 1 24	111 21	1 69	39 97 7	107 2	1 13 - 1-	· te
100 201 50	2 -	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	- 11	11 17	A1 22A 51 27	96 28	1 500	2 11
175	tight i	17 160 5A 6 2 7 16 4	111 .	15	1 16 682 106	10 2.152 342 73		
11		125 75 6 19 90 121 92 1 189 106	• 11		2 6	265 37		•
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	21	35 , 31 ?	• 1	71	15 7 7 7	70 7		
1		102 225 1 15 1 1 1 1 1			2A 76 5 1	30 27		-5
11.	4425 3174	46 87 74 1	2 6	14	19	1 17 18		
137 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	11.	55 15 15 15	50 202	50	416 908 134 4	950 47 34 3 1 490 38	1 1	
AN 1-1-15C1 1-1-1-15C1 1-1-15C1 1-1-1-15C1 1-1-1-1	10	7 2 125 10	6 65	, 166	134 276 16	. 1 1		
107 90 79 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105 105		577 37			13 37 1	1 100 35	1 2 2 3 2	
115 11 70		15				05 27 8:277 1:539 66	, 11	
THUS DE WIT AND TO COLUMN 2. BUT ARE INCLUDED IN SECTIONS 3. 4. 5. 6 AND 7. COLUMNS RP AND TO ARE INCLUDED IN SECTIONS 3. 4. 5. 6 AND 7.	MASHINGTON	115						. 19
Think to still and to column 2. my	potet.	9,914 4,829 COLUMNS RF AND	The West Supposes					eta e
	C + THENE DE MILE WO	O TO COLUMN CO TO.		j	•	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	,	
				. !	•		•	•
			•	!		en e		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
					-			

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

noranaum ROUTE

DATE: September 22, 1966

1 - SAC, Quantico

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX LISTS

57

Enclosed herewith is one copy each of the following lists for transmittal to the SAC, Quantico, for storage with other vital records maintained by him:

- 1) Alphabetical list of Security Index (SI) subjects.
- Geographical list of SI subjects.
- Special Section of the SI. 3)
- List of key figures and top functionaries.
- List of SI subjects out of country.
- List of subjects whose citizenship status is unknown.
- List of aliens.
- List of SI subjects of Chinese descent.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Communist China.
- List of SI subjects sympathatic to Cuba.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba and China.
- List of SI subjects who are defectors. 12)
- 13) List of Progressive Labor Party members.

14) List of SI subjects who are Chinese defectors.

Enclosure

 JHG/csh_{f} (3)

CONTINUED - OVER

6 SEP 27 1966

3627

Memorandum
RE: SECURITY INDEX LISTS
100-358086

- 15) List of SI subjects who are Cuban defectors.
- 16) List of missing SI subjects.

The SAC, Quantico, should destroy by burning the lists furnished August 19, 1966. When this action has been taken, he should so note on this memorandum and return it to the Subversive Control Section.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum and the attached lists will be forwarded to Quantico for the above action.

Handled by Quantice
9-23-66- Jos

3630

UNITED STATES GO RNMENT

Memoranaum

DATE: 9/23/66

FROM

TO

GENT

SUBJECT:

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS INDEXING OF ORGANIZATION REPORTS
SUGGESTION MATTER
210-67

SUGGESTION:

All reports concerning subversive organizations, membership in which is grounds for inclusion in the Security Index (such as the Communist Party and Socialist Workers Party) should contain a statement on the cover page that all persons named in the report as members of the local subject organization are included in the Security Index, or are informants or sources, except those listed. A statement that the names of those so listed have been previously furnished to the Bureau for indexing and a statement concerning the status of their investigations should be made. The same should be done concerning reports on organizations, leadership in which is grounds for inclusion in the Security Index (such as Nation of Islam and DuBois Clubs of America) except that the statement would refer to officers or leaders rather than members. The same action should be taken regarding the annual Communist Party organizational surveys and regarding the communist infiltration of the racial movement reports but not regarding other communist infiltration creports.

CURRENT PRACTICE:

The names of members of the Communist Party 2nd 1956
Socialist Workers Party appearing in the quarterly or
semiannual Communist Party and Socialist Workers Party
organization reports submitted by each office are checked
against the Security Index list by Records Section clerks

oci s

March of March

Memorandum to
RE: SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS
INDEXING OF ORGANIZATION REPORTS
SUGGESTION MATTER

for the purpose of eliminating repeated indexing of the names of Security Index subjects. This indexing is unnecessary because the same information will appear in annual reports on these members. Only the names of those <u>not</u> listed in the Security Index are indexed. When the reports reach the Subversive Control Section, supervisors normally check the indexed names to eliminate those who are informants and to check the others to make certain they are under investigation and to follow the field to insure that the names are recommended for inclusion in the Security Index. Reports concerning communist infiltration of racial movement are the only other reports checked by the Records Section against the Security Index list. All other reports on subversive organizations are completely indexed.

ADVANTAGES:

1) Would eliminate the check of Communist Party and Socialist Workers Party reports against the Security Index list by Records Section employees and would eliminate indexing of the names of members except for the names of those listed on the cover pages as not being included in the Security Index. Would eliminate much indexing of reports on other basic revolutionary organizations such as Progressive Labor. 2) Would eliminate the repeated indexing of informants' names. 3) Would eliminate indexing of the names of officers in the reports on Nation of Islam, DuBois Clubs of America and similar organizations except those listed on the cover pages as not being included in the Security Index. 4) Would make it unnecessary for the Subversive Control Section to check the names of those not marked as Security Index subjects. 5) Would place the responsibility for opening cases on individuals and recommending them for the Security Index squarely on the field, where this responsibility belongs.

continued - OVER

Memorandum to
RE: SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS
INDEXING OF ORGANIZATION REPORTS
SUGGESTION MATTER

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that this suggestion be adopted. Proposed manual changes attached. Handbook changes unnecessary.

ADDENDUM:
SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION
JFB: fhd

It is recommended that a letter of commendation who made this suggestion.

ADDENDUM OF THE TRAINING DIVISION, ML:mem 9/27/66

Letter of commendation attached for approval.

Sent 9-28-60

_ 2 _

September 22, 1966

PROPOSED CHANGE IN MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS

Section 87C, page 7 - add as paragraph (2)(f):

"In order to reduce indexing at the Bureau, each report should contain a statement in the cover pages that all persons named in the report as members of the Communist Party and who reside within the area covered by the reporting office are listed in the Security Index, or are informants or sources, with the exception of those listed. If any name is listed, a statement should be made as to whether the name has been previously furnished to the Bureau for indexing. The status of the investigation of any listed individual should be shown."

Section 87C, page 11 - add following to (24) b:

"Follow rule set out under 3.a.(2)(f) concerning listing of Communist Party members who are not in the Security Index."

Section 87C, page 12 - add to (f:

on cover pages members of the local Socialist
Workers Party, or other organizations, who are
not in the Security Index and are not informants
or sources.

Section 87C, page 12a - add to 4. as paragraph 3:

"Each survey should contain a statement that
all persons identified as members of the Communist
Party are listed in the Security Index, or are
informants or sources. Any exceptions should be

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT ... 2(,2()

PROPOSED CHANGE IN MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS

named and a statement made as to whether the name has previously been furnished to the Bureau for indexing. The status of the investigation of each person listed should be shown."

Section 87C, page 12c - add as 5. e.:

"Follow rule set out under 3. a.(2)(f) concerning listing of those Communist Party members named in the reports who are not in the Security Index."

Section 87E, page 84 - add following as 2. 4, (4):

Two Peports concerning communist front organizations and miscellaneous organizations such as the Nation of Islam, leadership in which is grounds for inclusion in the Security Index, should include on a cover pages a statement that all persons named in the report as officers or leaders and who reside within the area covered by the reporting office are listed in the Security Index or are informants or sources. Exceptions should be named and a statement made as to the status of their investigations."

Section 87E, page 86 - add to 3.e."

The cover pages of the report should state that all persons named as officers or leaders of the organization, and who reside within the area covered by the reporting office, are listed in the Security Index or are informants or sources. Exceptions should be named and information furnished concerning the status of their investigations."

See memorandum NOTE:

9/23/66, same

SAN 1942 EDITION
SAN GEN. HEO. HO. 37

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT (

MemorandumROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: September 30, 1966

то

ROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

GENL.

During the past week 11 cards were added to the Security Index and 13 cards were canceled, a net decrease of 2 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,923.

ACTION:

UNITED STATES GC / ERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: October 7, 1966

FROM

TO Tu

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - GENTL

The following is a report of the status of the Security Index since the last count was furnished 9/2/66.

Week of:				Cards Added	Cards Canceled	Net Char	ige
September	5	-	9	8	5	+3	
September				19	12	+7	
September					10	+8	L
September					13	-2	
	3			<u>9</u> 65	<u>6</u> 46	+3 +19	

During the above-mentioned period of time, there was a net increase of 19 cards in the Security Index.

For your information, during the preceding fourweek period, 76 cards were added to the Security Index and 86 cards were canceled, resulting in a net decrease of 10 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,926.

ACTION:

DAKECORUCIO

October 7, 1966

Assistant Attorney General Internal Security Division

Director, FBI

EMERGENCY DETENTION PROGRAM

The name of the subject appearing below has been approved for inclusion in the Security Index by the Internal Security Division of the Department.

Name:

FBI File Number:

Department Approved: November 13, 1962

Date of Last Report: September 29, 1965

Investigation has failed to develop evidence of recent subversive activities or affiliations on the part of the subject.

All pertinent information concerning the reported past subversive activities of the subject has been furnished the Records Administration Office.

It is requested that the results of the investigation be reviewed to determine whether the subject's name should continue to be included in the Security Index.

DUPLICATE VELLE FOR RECORDED

NOTE: SAC,

Pending receipt of reply from Department, subject's name will be retained in Security Index.

GENU

NOTE: Letter classified "Secret" as pertains to SI and EDP, component parts of which are so classified by the Department.

THENKET THE IN

Memorandum

DATE: October 11, 1966

, FROM

TO

SUBJECT DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending active cases and delinquency in espionage, internal security, security matter, foreign intelligence and racial matters cases in classifications 65, 100, 105 and 157. Status of these cases as of September 30, 1966, is set forth below.

In September, 1966, there was a decrease in pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100, and 105 from 19,649 to 19,045. Delinquency matters increased from 1,024 to 1,198. The percentage of delinquent matters increased from 5.2 per cent to 6.3 per cent.

During the same month, pending active matters in the 157 classification remained the same at 5,115 while delinquent matters decreased from 353 to 342. The field-wide delinquency in this classification decreased from 6.9 per cent to 6.7 per cent.

Letters are being sent to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages 4 and 5 herein, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

ACTION:

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding delinquency in the 65, 100, 105 and 157 classifications and you will be advised of the results of the review of the administrative report for October, 1966.

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

DETAILS:

The September, 1966, administrative reports for all offices indicate that the total pending active matters in classification 65, 100, and 105 decreased from 19,649 to 19,045. Delinquent items increased from 5.2 per cent to 6.3 per cent. These same reports disclose that in the 157 classification total pending active matters remained the same at 5,115; delinquency decreased from 353 to 342; and the percentage of delinquency decreased from 6.9 per cent to 6.7 per cent.

The following statistics show the status of pending active matters in delinquency for all field divisions for the last six months.

	CLASSIFI		ACTIVE MAT , 100, 105 DIVISIONS		
Classifi- 4/30/6 cations	5/31/66	6/30/66	7/31/66	8/31/66	9/30/66
65 1,029	913	891	800	75 5	795
100 • 9,010	9,011	8,721	8,755	8,601	7,906
105 10,416	10,612	10,338	9,950	10,293	10,344
Totals 20,455	20,536	19,950	19,505	19,649	19,045
157 5,101	4,925	4,896	4,762	5,115	5,115

2

Memorandum for

RE: DELINQUENCY INSECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

TOTAL DELINQUENT MATTERS CLASSIFICATIONS 65, 100, 105, and 157 ALL FIELD DIVISIONS

• • •	A	LL FIELD D	IAISIONS		
i- s 4/30/66	5/31/66	6/30/66	7/31/66	8/31/66	9/30/66
35	30	32	29	27	18
635	747	682	589	495	570
697	796	641	698	502	610
1367(6.7%)	L,573(7.7%)	1,355(6.8%)	1,316(6.7%)	1,024 (5.2%)	1,198(6.3%)
			•		
589(11.5%)	572(11.6%)	583(11.9%	477(10.0	%) 353(6.9	2%) 342(6.7%)
·					
	35 635 697 1367(6 <i>J</i> %)	i- s 4/30/66 5/31/66 35 30 635 747 697 796 1367(63%) 1,573(7.7%)	i- s 4/30/66 5/31/66 6/30/66 35 30 32 635 747 682 697 796 641 1367(6.7%) 1,573(7.7%) 1,355(6.8%)	35 30 32 29 635 747 682 589 697 796 641 698 1367(6.7%) 1,573(7.7%) 1,355(6.8%)1,316(6.7%)	i- s 4/30/66 5/31/66 6/30/66 7/31/66 8/31/66 35 30 32 29 27 635 747 682 589 495 697 796 641 698 502

Status of Security Work by Individual Office

The offices with delinquency in either category are listed alphabetically and these statistics reveal their progress during September, 1966, in the 157 classifications and in composites for the 65, 100, and 105 classifications. The delinquencies shown are based on pending active matters.

Letters are being directed to offices indicated by asterisks on the following schedule, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

3 -

ň				•							
	Acti	ive N	latter	s	Delinque	ency		Matters		Delinque	▼ 1
3	1	57 C	Lassi-		157 Clas	ssi-		0, and 105		65,100,	
		ficat	tion		fication			fications		Classifi	· ·
Office	8/31	/66	9/30/6	66	8/31/66	9/30/66	8/31/6	6 9/30/66		8/31/66	9/30/66
Albany	7		3		0.0%	0.0%	180	195		3.3%	5.1%
Atlanta	75		82		5.3%	7.3%	87	82		4.6%	8.5%
Baltimore	59		41	• •	5.1%	4.9%	341	336		6.7%	6.8%
Birmingham	158		167		8.2%	7/8%	53	45		5.7%	2.2%
Boston	7		6		0.0%	0.0%	432	432		6.5%	5.8%
Bu ilo	16	√ "	10		12.5%	0.0%	3 79	340		6.6%	9.7%
Charlotte Charlotte	484		538		6.4%	6.3%	52	63,		0.0%	1.6%
Chicago	268		250		. 4%	4.4%	1155	1 204;	-	4.2%	3.8%
Cincinnati	41	45° -54€.	51		7.3%	0.0%	402	225	-	4.0%	5.3%
	60	ret seering	56		6.7%	10.7%*	387	412		9.0%	7.0%
Cleveland Columbia Columbia Dallas Denver Honolulu Houston	368		353		15.0%	8.5%	29	31		13.8%	6.1%
Dallas	45	- 1	57		0.0%		100	97		1.0%	1.0%
Darran	5		4		0.0%	0.0%	134	100		5.2%	2.0%
Denver	0	f,	0		0.0%		77	. 82		2.6%	3.7%
Honolulu	53	e A ja	47		0.0%	0.0%	. 78	69	. 4	0.0%	2.9%
	130		120		1.5%	.8%	316	255		7.6%	5.1%
Indianapolis	922		1063		7.2%	10.9%*	42	38		0.0%	2.6%
Jackson	82		47	-	2.4%	6.4%	50	64		2.0%	6.3%
Jacksonville	5	* 11	5		0.0%	0.0%	76	56		2.6%	7.1%
K ₆ as City		, i	108	•	1.0%	0.0%	39	41	:	2.6%	2.4%
Knoxville	99		3		0.0%	0.0%	64	32		0.0%	3.1%
Las Vegas	3	4			5.2%	1.9%	1451	1421		6.8%	11.9%*
Los Angeles	116	ů,	106		5.6%	7.1%	29	27		0.0%	0.0%
Louisville	18		14			13.3%*	• 54	61		3.7%	1.6%
Memphis	35		30		2.9%		369	338		3.8%	5.0%
Miami .	1.21		136		8%	0.0%	303	220		, 5,0%	- • · · ·

Office	Active M 157 Cla ficat 8/31/66	ssi- ion	Delinquency 157 Classi- fication 8/31/66 9/3	6	active Mat 55, 100, a Classifica 3/31/66 9/	and 105 ations	65,	nquency 100, and ssificat 1/66 9/3	d 105 ions	••	
Milwaukee Minneapolis Mobile Newark New laven. New Orleans New York Norfolk Oklahoma City Omaha Philadelphia Phoenix Pittsburgh Portland Richmond St. Louis San Antonio S Diego San Francisco San Juan Savannah Seattle Springfield Tampa	0	14 9 310 38 9 390 23 65 12 5 80 6 13 4 196 126 13 13 60 0 29 7 11 202	0.0% 0.0% 10.1% 11.8% 0.0% 16.3% 12.0% 13.5% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0	0.0% 0.0% 2.9% 2.6% 0.0% 15.4%* 0.0% 3.1% 0.0% 0.0% 10.7%* 8.7% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0	205 90 111 1567 487 12 404 100 153	204 304 45 689 456 95 4047 41 62 71 719 82 242 111 90 195 84 134 1574 487 10 366 92 160		6.7% 2.0% 0.0% 6.7% 4.1% 9.7% 8.1% 3.8% 3.6% 1.5% 3.0% 6.5% 3.8% 3.6% 1.1% 7.2% 5.0% 6.8% 8.3% 1.5% 2.6% 2.6%	2.9% 3.3% 0.0% 6.4% 7.0% 6.2% 8.9% 12.2%* 1.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.0% 7.3% 6.3% 2.2% 7.7% 7.1% 5.2% 8.4% 6.2% 10.0% 1.4% 2.2% 2.5% 3.4%		<u></u>
Washington Field	77	70	2.6%	2.9%	1787	1902		2,00	•		+ 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1

MAY 1962 SBINGH.

964 GIR. SEG. HO. 27

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT,

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: October 14, 1966

το

FROM

SUBJECT:SECURITY INDEX - GENA

During the past week 16 cards were added to the Security Index and 3 cards were canceled, a net increase of 13 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,939.

ACTION:

Assistant Attorney General

October 21, 1966



There is attached hereto a current list of names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. Those individuals coming within four designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information indicating the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number, and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Enclosure

100-358086

NOTE:

Terrore 11
Jeliense 66 plan

Letter classified as it pertains to Security Index, part of Emergency Detention Program of Department. Espionage Section of Special Section

not included. Four designated groups are:

U. S. Government Employees Foreign Government Employees United Nations Employees Cubans

OCT 24 1966

JAJ/csh

WINITED STATES GO NMEN

Memorandum

DATE: 10/18/66

FROM

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS

There are 9,939 persons represented in the Security Index as of October 14, 1966. Of the total, 6,829 or 68.7 per cent are communists.

A detailed table, copy enclosed, shows as of October 14, 1966, the distribution of the subjects by field office, nationalistic tendencies, dangerousness, sex, race, citizenship and "special lists." A key to abbreviations is enclosed.

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS USED IN SECURITY INDEX

Alien Detcom Key Figure KF Naturalized

Native Born NB Top Functionary TF_

Unknown UN-

Atomic Energy Program Employees AEP

American Socialist Clubs ASC Communist Party, USA Cuban COM

CUB

CZE

Czechoslovakian

Espionage Subjects ESP

Foreign Government Employees **FGE** United States Government Employees GOV

Independent Socialist League ISL

Johnson Forest Group **JFG**

Miscellaneous MIS

Moslem Mosque, Inc. MMI

Nation of Islam NOI

Progressive Labor Party PLP

Polish POL

Proletarian Party of America PPA Puerto Rican Nationalist -PRN

Russian RUS

Socialist Workers' Party SWP United Nations Employees UNE

Yugoslavian YUG

SECURITY INDEX CARD STATISTICS - BY FIELD DEFICE
BASED ON VOUCHER-STATISTICAL SECTION PECONDS AS OF OCTOBER 14, 1966

Δ

					**	i .	
111	12)	133 NATIONALISTIC TENDENCY OR URGANIZATION APPILIATION	1016	(5) 5) x	CITICINSHIP STATUS	171 NACE	SPECIAL SECTION
		COM 154 JFG NOT MRS MIS PRN PPA RUS ASC SMP CUR YUG CZE POL PLP	DC FF TF	MALE FEMALE	NR YA AL UN	WHITE NEGRO CHIN OTHER	AEP FOE GOV UNE TIG C
tito utilit	TOTAL	20 132 376 NOT	17 4	24 22 14 #	41 5 21 1	71 1 7	
13 43UF	72 7 17		24 4 1	15 ? 60 29	16 1 72 15 1 1	6 11 59 30	
Laterya	1	.1 1 2 2 5 35 5	44 9 1	6 1 140 66 67 34	7 170 33 3 91 9 1	179 26 1 68 33	
ો મા	126 121 14	101 10 21 2 1 2 1 10	6 3	95 9 H 2	37 2 10	14	
1	10 /41	5/4 1 44 14 11 6 2 4 43 9 3	104 :7 5 17 32 7 2	461 271 16 8 172 65	501 120 19 1 45 1 154 21 1 1	544 197 21 25 142 45	
3 0 114	117		9 1	20 1	23	11 12	
# A	,,	11 2 2 1 7 2 16 1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1	16 4 1	1/ 16 2ng 11h	45 5 2 L 191 57 R	263 141 2	
artti gita aattii eqatea	10 16	2 2 2 4	10 1 3 1	12 4 1H 5	16 23	10 14 9	
24530.4 5 5	1	4 4 11 1 1	A 1	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	75 10 7 1	35 21 2 6 2	
515 (1116 515 (1116	1 1 2	\$ 7.3 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m	2	14 ?	16	11 5	
. 11-11	1 113	10 1 10 10 10	198 23	7 3 7 742 90H	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,241 116 2 11	
(1)	[1416	1.101 9 26 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		7 2	;	; 5	
1	1 175	17 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16 1 27 (0 1 37 13 1	70 16 111 17	76 14 15 94 7 3 199 19	103 5	
150 K 1 (15)	215	161 186 59 6 2 5 15 15 2	55 12	2/0 A2	226 53 27 1	223 77 2	1 2
a Hack	123	15 20 7 1 1 15 4	10 4	17 16 17 1 1,076 1,040	107 19 2 18 1-917 686 104 11	2,349 341 24 2	321
4 Y	20	1,000 , 2 10 50 10 60 125 51 1 100 103 2 113	5 l	73 3	75 l	2 24	
town .	1 , ,,,,	279 1 15 2 2 2 16 4 11	47 7	101 113	267 51 6	243 56 20 7 1	
jg kongelekk na siji pjana H	1 11		13 11	30 17 54 24	39 5 3 76 5 1	17 9 1 78 4	
1 (1 Vic. 1 1 2 Vic. 1 1 2 Vic. Vic.	70	3 - 13	, , ,	16 4 46 19	17 7 4 63 1 1 19 1 1	H 12 3n 27 21	
व्यक्ति । व्यक्ति व्यक्ति । व्यक्ति (व्यक्ति) व्यक्ति (व्यक्ति)				50 14	20 1 1 5h 9 3	17 10	
in openie in examilabili	Luve	24 11 252 10	0 204 71 7 91 12	01/4 435 477 51	912 15a 45 3 522 5 5 1	458 95 34 ⁹ 9 495 38	
15 4745 1545549 41143	102	7 1	• 4; 10 ,	169 141	240 16 5 b	784 16 1 1 10 1	
1414513113	:-:		, , ,	14 13 14, 49	37 H 1 L	11 10	
Sankar I. Samuel	119		16 1,458 46N 2W	6,339 3,600	7,481 1,570 308 26	8,247 1,543 67 32	17 34 2 34
10141 1000	4,919	6,620 4 46 665 21 212 662 17 15	to the RF ERLINA.				*

* 11:45 AU THE SEA TH COLUMN 2" BUT AME INCLUDED IN SECTIONS 3" 4" 5" 6 AND T. COLUMNS RE AND TE ARE INCLUDED IN DE COLUMN.

OPTIONAL FORM FOR THE TO THE MAY 1962 EDITION GAA GAN, REG. HO. 27

UNITED STATES GOV ARNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOP

DATE: October 20, 1966

FROM

TO

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - GEN

We forward to the field quarterly an alphabetical list of each office's Security Index in order that the Security Index cards maintained in the field may be checked and reconciled with Bureau records.

There is attached a proposed letter to all field offices containing appropriate instructions regarding the handling of the list.

RECOMMENDATION:

There is attached for approval the yellow file copy and paper master of a letter to all offices. After approval; the attached should be returned to Supervisor J. Harold Glascock, Room 806 Federal Triangle Building, for preparation and distribution to the field.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: October 21, 1966

то

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - GENTA

During the past week 13 cards were added to the Security Index and 24 cards were canceled, a net decrease of 11 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,928.

ACTION:

- List of subjects whose citizenship status is unknown.
- List of aliens. 7)
- List of SI subjects of Chinese descent.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Communist China.
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba. 10)
- List of SI subjects sympathetic to Cuba and China. 11)
- 100-358086-3640 ectors List of SI subjects who are defectors 12)
- List of Progressive Labor Party members. OCT 27 1965
- 14) List of SI subjects who are Chinese defectors.

3640



Memorandum -to RE: SECURITY INDEX LISTS 100-358086

- 15) List of SI subjects who are Cuban defectors.
- 16) List of missing SI subjects.

The SAC, Quantico, should destroy by burning the lists furnished September 22, 1966. When this action has been taken, he should so note on this memorandum and return it to the Subversive Control Section.

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum and the attached lists will be forwarded to Quantico for the above action.

H

2

October 27, 1966

Assistant Attorney General Director, YBI

Cuban Emergiany Plans Internal Security - Cuba

Reference is made to reports previously furnished
the Records &dministration Office concerning
the most recent of
FDI file number
which was the report of Special Agent
dated September 23, 1966, at New York, New York.

is a Cuban alien, who has been added to the

Security Index.

You may desire to arrange for the issuance of the necessary deciments calling for the apprehension of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) in the tent of an emergency involving the United States and Cuba. The above-mentioned reports regarding have been furnished the appropriate INS District Director. It is furnished that we be advised of your decision concerning the issuance of the pertinent documents.

NOT RECORDED 170 OCT 31 1966

NOTE: Classified since letter pertains to the SI and Emergency Detention Program, components of which are so classified by Department.

attended functions of this organization. He was observed at a nicket line of the U. S. Hission to the U. N. in June, 1986, to nicket line of the S. Accusation that U. S. is guilty of S. Naval Base, Guantanamo, Cuba.

Movember 2, 1966

Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

CUBAN EMERGENCY PLANS INTERNAL SECURITY - CUBA

Reference is made to the report of Special Agent dated October 5, 1986, at New York, dated October 5, 1986, at New York, a copy of which has been furnished to the Records Administration Office, concerning TBI file number

is a Cuban alien who has been added to the Security Index.

You may desire to arrange for the issuance of necessary documents calling for the apprehension of by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) in the event of an emergency involving the United States and Cuba.

The above-mentioned report has been furnished the appropriate INS District Director. It is requested that we be advised of your decision concerning the issuance of pertinent documents.

BEE FORE PAGE TWO. 167 NOV 3 1966

Z

11/2/66

NOTE:

Classified since letter pertains to Security Index and Emergency Detention Program, components of which are so classified by the Department.

Americas. a pro-Castro, anti-U.S. organization in New York City.

On he was stating that if the U.S. attacks

Cuba he will plant a bomb somewhere. His name and telephone
number were in the possession of when

was arrested for Conspiracy to violate sabotage
and FARA statutes.

SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION PROGRAM EVALUATION

PERIODIC REPORTS PROGRAM

1. Origin

Annual reports in Security Index (SI) cases have been required since completion of the Summary Report Program 7/14/54; (New York Office 5/1/56). In certain type cases periodic reports were required at specified intervals for many years prior to 1954; i.e., key figures, top functionaries.

2. Purpose

To insure that current information is available to the Bureau in report form in order to keep the Bureau, as well as the Department, advised of the status of SI cases and in order to discharge our responsibilities of dissemination to other interested agencies in the executive branch of the Government.

3. Scope

All offices participate in this program.

A. Tie in With Other Programs

Closely related to the Emergency Detention Program, which deals with plans for the apprehension and detention of potentially dangerous individuals in time of an emergency.

NOT RECORDED 87 NOV 10 1966

CONTINUED - OVER

61 NOV 151966

STATE THE PARTY

Memorandum to .

RE: SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION PROGRAM EVALUATION

5. Case Load

As of 10/14/66, there were 9,939 SI subjects, each normally requiring at least one report a year.

Some SI cases require more frequent reports;
namely, (a) top functionaries, quarterly reports. There are
28 individuals considered as "top functionaries." They
represent the highest degree of leadership in subversive
organizations and their activities are constantly being
followed. (b) Key figures, semiannual reports are required
in key figure cases presently numbering 468. These individuals
represent leadership in subversive organizations on regional,
district and state levels and we follow their activities
closely.

6. Magnitude

This is a major program as evidenced by the fact that, with the exceptions noted above, in all SI cases investigations must be brought up to date and reports submitted at specified intervals. This does not include investigations and reports on individuals currently being nominated for the SI.

7. Administrative Controls

Each Supervisor handling security matter cases utilizes a tickler system to insure that reports are submitted when due by the field.

8. Adequacy and Currentness of Instructions

Instructions dealing with this program are found in Section 87D of the Manual of Instructions. This section of the Manual is up to date.

UNRECORDED

Memorandum to RE: SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION PROGRAM EVALUATION

9. Over-all Value

Data dealing with this program is included in the budget material. In addition, this program enables us to thoroughly review the SI status of each individual subject on at least an annual basis. When information is developed clearly indicating that a subject no longer should be scheduled for apprehension, prompt action is taken to remove his name from the SI. Conversely, if the investigation developes evidence indicating that the subject presents a potential threat to the internal security in time of emergency, his name is included in the SI.

Reports in these cases are widely disseminated to various executive departments. Essentially, every security program of other branches of the Government depends to a large extent on information made available to them by us.

ACTION:

This program will be followed closely and we will continue to require reports in SI cases in accordance with the schedule referred to herein.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: October 28, 1966

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

During the past week 8 cards were added to the Security Index and 24 cards were canceled, a net decrease of 16 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,912.

ACTION:

OPTIONAL FORM HO. 10
MAY 1942 SOITION
OBA GEN. REG. HO. 27

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

DATE: November 4, 1966

то

FROM :

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX

GENL

During the past week 14 cards were added to the Security Index and 23 cards were canceled, a net decrease of 9 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,903.

ACTION:

3644

UNITED STATES GOV. NMENT

Memorandum

ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

TO

DATE: November 10, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX— CENL

The following is a report of the status of the Security Index since the last count was furnished 10/7/66.

Week of:	Cards Added	Cards Canceled	Net Change
October 10 - 14	16	3	+ 13
October 17 - 21	13	24	- 11
October 24 - 28	8	24	- 16
October 31 -	14	23	- 9
November 4	8	9	- 1
November 7 - 10	59	83	- 24

During the above-mentioned period of time, there was a net decrease of 24 cards in the Security Index.

For your information, during the preceding fiveweek period, 65 cards were added to the Security Index and 46 cards were canceled, resulting in a net increase of 19 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,902.

ACTION:

3645

UNITED STATES G ZRNMENT

Memorandum

TO

DATE: November 9, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

SYNOPSIS:

Each month we follow the field closely on the status of security work by analyzing the backlog of pending active cases and delinquency in espionage, internal security, security matter, foreign intelligence and racial matters cases in classifications 65, 100, 105 and 157. Status of these cases as of October 31, 1966, is set forth below.

In October, 1966, there was an increase in pending active matters in the field in classifications 65, 100, and 105 from 19,045 to 19,675. Delinquency matters remained the same at 1,198. The percentage of delinquent matters decreased from 6.3 per cent to 6.1 per cent.

During the same month, pending active matters in the 157 classification increased from 5,115 to 5,217 while delinquent matters decreased from 342 to 328. The field-wide delinquency in this classification decreased from 6.7 per cent to 6.3 per cent.

Letters are being sent to the offices indicated by asterisks on pages 4 and 5 herein, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

ACTION:

The field will continue to be followed closely regarding delinquency in the 65, 100, 105 and 157 classifications and you will be advised of the results of the review of the administrative report for November, 1966.

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

DETAILS:

The October, 1966, administrative reports for all offices indicate that the total pending active matters in classifications 65, 100, and 105 increased from 19,045 to 19,675. Delinquent items remained the same at 1,198. The percentage of delinquent items decreased from 6.3 per cent to 6.1 per cent. These same reports disclose that in the 157 classification total pending active matters increased from 5,115 to 5,217; delinquency decreased from 342 to 328; and the percentage of delinquency decreased from 6.7 per cent to 6.3 per cent.

The following statistics show the status of pending active matters in delinquency for all field divisions for the last six months.

		TOTA CLASSIFI	TERS , and 157			
Classifi- cations	5/31/66	6/30/66	7/31/66	8/31/66	9/30/66	10/31/66
65	913	891	800	755	795	748
100	9,011	8,721	8,755	8,601	7,906	8,307
105-	10,612	10,338	9,950	10,293	10,344	10,620
Totals	20,536	19,950	19,505	19,649	19,045	19,675
157	4,925	4,896	4,762	5,115	5,115	5,217
•						

CONTINUED - OVER

.

Memorandum for RE: DELINQUENCY IN SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

	TOTAL	DELIN	IQUEN	T MA	CTERS	5
CT.	ASSIFICA:	CIONS	65,	100,	105	and 157
	ATI	דים דים	זמ מ	VTST	ONS	

•	The Uccous	IA AI	L FIELD DIV	VISIONS		pidiuoes
Classifi	5/31/66		7/31/66	8/31/66	9/30/66	10/31/66
65	30	32	29	27	. 18	24
100	747	682	589	495	570	530
105	796	641	698	502	610	644
Totals	1,573(7.7%)	L , 355(6 . 8%)	1,316(6.7%) 1,	024(5.2%)	1,198(6.3%) 1,198(6.1%)
157	572(11.6%)	583(11.9%	3) 477(10.0%	353(6.9	%) 342(6.	7%) 328(6.3%)

Status of Security Work by Individual Office

The offices with delinquency in either category are listed alphabetically and these statistics reveal their progress during October, 1965, in the 157 classifications and in composites for the 65, 100, and 105 classifications. The delinquencies shown are based on pending active matters.

Letters are being directed to offices indicated by asterisks on the following schedule, commenting on their delinquency and pointing out the necessity for bringing their cases in these classifications to a current status.

3 -

36		Matters	Delinque	•	Active N		Delinque	
		Classi-	157 Clas		65,100,8		65,100,a	
0661		ation	fication	,		cations	Classifi	
Office	9/30/6	6 10/31/66	9/30/66	10/31/50	9/30/66	5 10/31/66	9/30/66	10/31/66
Albany	3	5	0.0%	0.0%	195	202	5.1%	3.5%
Anchorage	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	19	17	0.0%	5.9%
Atlanta	82	228	7.3%	0.0%	82	79	8.5%	2.5%
Baltimore	41	43	4.9%	2.3%	336	324	6.8%	6.2%
Birmingham	167	1 76	7.8%	8.0%	45	51	2.2%	3.9%
b ton •	6	7	0.0%	14.3%	432	437 •	5.8%	15.3%*
Buffalo	10	13	0.0%	0.0%	340	3 66	9.7%	4.6%
Charlotte	· 538	626	6.3%	7.8%	63	67	1.6%	1.5%
Chicago	250	22 4	4.4%	3.6%	1204	1 199	3.8%	5.2%
Cincinnati	, 51	56	0.0%	3.6%	225	361	. 5.3%	1.7%
Cleveland	` 56	44	10.7%	6.8%	412	415	7.0%	5.8%
Columbia	3 53	376	8.5%	6.4%	31	26	6.1%	7.7%
Dallas	57	43	1.8%	0.0%	97	92	1.0%	3.3%
Denver	4	6	0.0%	0.0%	100	108	2.0%	.9%
Detroit	72	91	0.0%	0.0%	611	6 57	0.0%	1.1%
Honolulu 🕠 🦯	. 0	1	0.0%	0.0%	82	74	3.7%	4.1%
Indianapolis	120	45	.8%	15.6%	255	2 58	5.1%	8.9%
Jackson	1063	1075	10.9%	8.1%	38	41	2.6%	0.0%
<pre>.' ksonville</pre>	47	56	6.4%	3.6%	64	57	6.3%	3.5%
Kansas City	5	6	0.0%	0.0%	56	62	7.1%	3.2%
Knoxville	108	101	0.0%	1.0%	41	49	2.4%	2.0%
Los Angeles	106	108	1.9%	7.4%	1421	1483	11.9%	8.4%
Memphis'	30	29	13.3	6.9%	• 61	60	1.6%	0.0%
Miami	136	117	0.0%	1.7%	338	424	5.0%	5.9%
Milwaukee	14	12	0.0%	0.0%	204	285	2.9%	3.5%

7								•		
364	Active 1	Matters	Delinque	•		Matters	Delinqu			
$ \bigcirc $ 157 Classi-			157 Classi-		65,100, and 105		•	65,100, and 105		
	ficati	ion	fication 9/30/66 10/31/66		Classifications		Classifications			
<u>Office</u>	9/30/66	10/31/66			9/30/6	9/30/66 10/31/66		9/30/66 10/31/66		
Minneapolis	9	9	0.0%	0.0%	304	306	3.3%	4.6%		
Mobile	310	266	2.9%	5.6%	45	42	0.0%	0.0%		
Newark	38	43	2.6%	4.7%	689	671	6.4%	8.3%		
New Haven	9 .	7	0.0%	0.0%	456	432	7.0%	7.9%		
New Orleans	3 90 [,]	405	15.4%	16.3%*	95	103	6.2%	3.9%		
New ork	23	18	0.0%	11.1%	4047	42 82	8.9%	7.6%		
Nortolk	65	64	3.1%	17.2%*	41	45 .	12.2%	6.7%		
Oklahoma City	12	9	0.0%	0.0%	62	52	1.6%	1.9%		
Omaha	5	6	0.0%	0.0%	71	65	5.6%	10.8%*		
Philadelphia	80	59	1.3%	11.9%*	719	764	5.0%	4.1%		
Phoenix		5	0.0%	0.0%	82	81	7.3%	7.4%		
Pittsburgh	6 13	15	. 0.0%	6.7%	242	248	3.3%	5.2%		
Portland	4	5	0.0%	0.0%	111 `	101	6.3%	5.0%		
Richmond	196	191	10.7%	1.0%	90	109	2.2%	.9%		
St. Louis	126	108	8.7%	0.0%	195	159	7.7%	8.2%		
San Antonio	13	11	0.0%	0.0%	84	89	7.1%	3.4%		
San Diego	. 13	14	0.0%	0.0%	134	149	5.2%	7.4%		
San Francisco	60	60	6.7%	6.7%	1574	1 568	8.4%	9.0%		
Sar Tuan	. 0	0	0.0%	0.0%	487	467	6.2%	8.6%		
Savanah	29	26	0.0%	3.8%	10	11	10.0%	9.1%		
Seattle	7	8	0.0%	0.0%	366	381	1.4%	1.3%		
Springfield .	11	. 14	0.0%	0.0%	92	109	2.2%	2.8%		
Tampa :	202	196	.5%	1.0%	160	172	2.5%	2.3%		
	70'	65	2.9%	6.2%	1805	1725	3.4%	3.7%		
Washington	/ 0	0,5	Z • J 10	U , 2 10	200					
Field			•				•			

- 5 -

11/14/66

SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION PROGRAM EVALUATION

SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS

- Hen.

SYNOPSIS

Bureau responsibility in this field originated with Presidential Directives, the first one in September, 1939. Purpose is to identify potentially dangerous individuals who are affiliated with subversive movements. This program is field wide and is closely related to the Emergency Detention Program, which involves plans for the apprehension and detention of potentially dangerous individuals in the event of an emergency.

From November, 1965, through October 1966, there was an average of 19,728 pending active cases in classifications 65, 100 and 105. This Section's principal case load falls within the 100 classification and during the same period averaged 8,666 cases per month. Instructions are up to date and administrative controls exercised over the field by tickler system.

Other programs arise from security investigations of individuals and include interviews with subversives. We consider an interview in each case. The Security Index contains 9,936 names as of October 14, 1966. An average of 6 Fraud Against the Government cases per month have been opened since November, 1965. Requests for availability of witnesses from Coast Guard and Defense continue high. The identities of 27 potential witnesses were made available during the period November 1, 1965 through October 31, 1966.

4

NOTAPEGORDED OVER 167 NOV 16 1966 IGINAL FILED IN

Memorandum to . _ _ _ _ RE: SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION PROGRAM EVALUATION

DETAILS

1. Origin

Presidential Directives, the initial one being issued in September, 1939, and since repeated on several occasions. These Directives charge the Bureau with the responsibility for investigation of individuals in the internal security field. It is the collecting agency of the entire executive branch for subversive information and has the responsibility of seeing that such information is distributed to those Government agencies having a legitimate interest therein.

2. Purpose

The identification and investigation of individuals who, because of affiliation with subversive organizations, may present a potential threat to the internal security in the event of an emergency and the development of evidence of violations of Federal statutes on the part of these individuals. If, during the course of a security investigation, it is determined that a subject does pose a potential threat to the internal security, he is scheduled for apprehension under the Emergency Detention Program by the inclusion of his name in the Security Index.

3. Scope

Field wide.

4. Tie in With Other Programs

Closely related is the Emergency Detention Program which deals with plans for the handling of individuals included

2 -

Memorandum to
RE: SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION
PROGRAM EVALUATION

in the Security Index in the event of an emergency. Also closely related are the various security programs of other Government agencies, such programs depending to a large extent on information developed by us and disseminated to such agencies.

5. Case Load

Basically, these cases fall in security classifications 65, 100 and 105. During the period November, 1965, through October 1966, the average field case load in these classifications was 19,728 pending active matters.

The Subversive Control Section is primarily responsible for investigations of individuals under the 100 classification although we do have cases on individuals under the 105 classification, such as the affiliates of the Nationalist Party of Puerto Rico. During the 12-month period November, 1965, through October, 1966, there was a monthly average of 8,666 pending active matters in classification 100 throughout the field.

6. Magnitude

This program is major from the standpoint of costs and manpower and, as such, is referred to in the budget material.

7. Past or Present Weaknesses

Close attention is given the entire operations in this field in efforts to insure that we are fully discharging our responsibilities thereunder.

8. Administrative Controls

The field is followed closely on its over-all delinquency in the three security classifications mentioned

Memorandum to .

RE: SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION

PROGRAM EVALUATION

previously. This is handled on a monthly basis. In addition, we follow the field by tickler on pending matters in the field of which we have knowledge and we follow the field for submission of periodic reports in Security Index cases to insure that such are submitted when due.

9. Adequacy and Currentness of Instructions

Instructions dealing with security investigations of individuals are contained in Section 87D of the Manual of Instructions and are in a current status.

10. Over-all Value

Determination of identities of individuals who should be apprehended in the event of an emergency in order to minimize possibilities of espionage, sabotage and subversion. Dissemination of information of intelligence value to other Government agencies concerning individuals and matters in which they have a legitimate interest. Budget purposes.

OTHER PROGRAMS ENCOMPASSED IN AND/OR ARISING OUT OF SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS

INTERVIEWS

Interview program initiated in 1949 and is continuing. All subjects of security investigations are considered for interview prior to closing. Through these interviews we have developed voluminous intelligence data and is the greatest source for the development of security informants.

SECURITY INDEX

As noted previously herein, one of the purposes of security investigations is to determine the identities

- 4 - . CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to
RE: SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION
PROGRAM EVALUATION

of individuals who, because of affiliation with subversive organizations, may be considered a potential threat to the internal security in the event of an emergency. When such information is developed, the name of the individual concerned is included in the Security Index.

We presently have included in the Security Index names of 9,939 (as of October 14, 1966) individuals. Each Security Index case is thoroughly re-evaluated at least once annually at the Bureau and in the field to insure that the cases are sound in every respect should it be necessary to invoke the Emergency Detention Program. If it is found that the subject's activities no longer justify the retention of his name in the Security Index, immediate steps are taken to insure that his name is removed therefrom.

RESERVE INDEX

In June, 1960, the then Communist Index, maintained only in the field, was renamed the Reserve Index and a program of bringing the cases of individuals whose names were included therein up to date was instituted. We broke the Reserve Index into Section A and Section B. Section A contains a list of individuals who should receive priority consideration from the standpoint of investigation, interrogation or other action following implementation of the Emergency Detention Program. Section A cards are maintained at the Seat of Government and in the field. These cases are reviewed on an annual basis and brought up to date. We presently have included in Section A of the Reserve Index the names of 1,830 individuals. Section B cards are maintained only in the field.

Section B, Reserve Index, cases are completely reviewed in the field on a biannual basis.

- 5 - CONTINUED - OVER

UNXEUNUEL

Memorandum to .

RE: SUBVERSIVE CONTROL SECTION PROGRAM EVALUATION

DISSEMINATION - INCLUDING CHECKS FOR AVAILABILITY OF INFORMANTS AND SOURCES

Security reports are disseminated to numerous interested agencies throughout the executive branch of the Government. By far the vast majority of such reports received are disseminated outside the Bureau. The responsibility of such dissemination necessitates careful and thorough evaluation of each report to assure that it is absolutely accurate both in form and content. In April, 1964, we initiated a program of dissemination of letterhead memorandum in all Security Index cases to Secret Service in connection with its responsibilities for Presidential protection. Initial dissemination was accomplished by early June, 1964, and as names of individuals are added to the Security Index appropriate letterhead memoranda are submitted to Secret Service. In addition, we keep Secret Service advised of changes in the status of these individuals on a continuing basis.

We are continuing to receive a heavy volume of requests from various departments of the executive branch of the Government for the availability of witnesses appearing in reports previously disseminated for appearance at hearings being conducted by such agencies as the Department of Defense and United States Coast Guard in efforts to remove subversives from access to classified data and/or restricted areas.

During the period November 1, 1965, through October 31, 1966, the identities of 27 potential witnesses were made available to these agencies for possible utilization at hearings.

- 6 - CONTINUED - OVER

FRAUD AGAINST THE COVERNMENT AND OTHER VIOLATIONS ARISING OUT OF SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS

One of the principal violations has been violation of the Fraud Against the Government Statute arising primarily from a subject's failure to list membership in a subversive organization when executing such documents as personnel security questionnaires. We have averaged opening 6 cases per month in this category during the past year and these cases are handled on one desk for uniformity. The principal difficulty in connection with these cases has been the developing of witnesses other than current active valuable informants who can testify to a subject's membership in the prescribed organization.

ACTION:

• This program and various facets thereof will continue to receive close attention in this Section.

FD-205 (Rev. 1-10-63) UNITED STATES GOVER. MENT Memorandum DATE: Director, FBI MAN SAC, Baltimore SOG ACTION: (Records Branch) SUBJECT: 5M- Miscellaneons Post and destroy This case will be delinquent. 11-21-66 Date of Bureau deadline: Reason for the delinquency: additional file reviews necessary. Date the report or necessary communication will reach the Bureau: 1/25/60 AEC zone designation; e.g., OR, CH, etc.: (This applies only to 116 cases.) No administrative action necessary.

3646

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

TO

DATE: November 18, 1966

FROM

SUBJECT: SECURITY INDEX - GENL

During the past week 24 cards were added to the Security Index and 4 cards were canceled, a net increase of 20 cards.

The Security Index count as of today is 9,922.

ACTION:

ROUTL IN ENVELOPE

November 21, 1966

Assistant Attorney General

Director, FBI

SECURITY INDEX LIST

-Gent

There is attached hereto a current list of names of the individuals maintained in the Security Index. Names included in the main portion of the list are arranged alphabetically. Those individuals coming within four designated groups are listed on separate pages. Following each name is information indicating the race, sex, birth date, Bureau file number, and the Bureau field office covering the residence of the individual.

It is requested that this list be given utmost security.

Enclosure

100-358086

NOTE:

Letter classified Emergency Detention
Security Index, part of Emergency Detention
Program of Department. Espionage Section of Special Section
not included. Four designated groups are:

U. S. Government Employees
Foreign Government Employees 4 NOV 22 1960
United Nations Employees

SULLIVAN

Cubans

235 PH . P.

4

TJUD ...